#### The velocity function of galaxies in the local environment from Cold and Warm Dark Matter simulations



Jesús Zavala Franco (CITA National Fellow)



In collaboration with:

Yi-Peng Jing (SHAO, Shanghai) Andreas Faltenbacher (UWC, Cape Town) Gustavo Yepes (UAM, Madrid) Yehuda Hoffman (RI of P, Jerusalem) Stefan Gottlöber (AIP, Potsdam) Barbara Catinella (MPA, Garching)

Paper: ApJ, 700, 1779 (0906.0585)

Workshop CIAS Meudon 2012

### Outline

- Abundance of small scale structure: prevailing challenge for the CDM paradigm?
- Constrained CDM and WDM simulations
- Abundance of DM halos in the local universe
- Comparison with the ALFALFA H<sub>I</sub> velocity function
- Summary and Conclusions

## Is DM cold?

Angulo & White, 2010



#### Abundance of low-mass halos in a CDM universe

Millennium II Simulation, Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2009

dn/dM ~ M<sup>-2</sup>





CDM free streaming length many orders of magnitude below mass resolution!

#### Observed abundance of dwarf galaxies



#### Abundance of low-mass subhalos in CDM



#### Missing satellite problem?



#### CDM and WDM constrained simulations

General setup:

WMAP3 cosmology ~88 Mpc box ~ 1 billion particles mass resolution ~ 2e7 Msun

WDM:

Cutoff in the power spectrum Thermal velocities not included



Constrained simulations: Constructed to reproduce the gross features (distribution and kinematics) of the nearby universe such as the local super cluster. They minimize cosmic variance present in unconstrained simulations.

#### Initial conditions with constraints

Observational constraints:

Radial velocities from MARK III (Willick et al. 1997), SBF (Tonry et al. 2001) and Catalog of Neighboring Galaxies (Karachentsev et al. 2004)

Positions of nearby X-ray selected clusters (Reiprich & Böhringer 2002)



Reconstruct density and velocity fields from the data (Wiener Filter) assuming linear theory and a power spectrum (Hoffman and Ribak 1991, Klypin et al. 2003)

#### Initial conditions with constraints

Observational constraints:

Radial velocities from MARK III (Willick et al. 1997), SBF (Tonry et al. 2001) and Catalog of Neighboring Galaxies (Karachentsev et al. 2004)

Positions of nearby X-ray selected clusters (Reiprich & Böhringer 2002)







Reconstruct density and velocity fields from the data (Wiener Filter) assuming linear theory and a power spectrum (Hoffman and Ribak 1991, Klypin et al. 2003)

Scales below ~7Mpc are poorly constrained by the data: add random component to compensate for missing power.

Evolve back in time (linear theory) to get ICs.

#### CDM and WDM constrained simulations



Projected DM distribution at z=0 (~10Mpc thickness)

The Local Super Cluster dominates the box with the Virgo cluster near a Local Group (defined with global properties of the MW and M31) close to the centre of the box

# Abundance of low-mass halos in the local simulated universe



#### Discreteness effects and spurious halos (Wang & White 2007)



 $d = L / N^{1/3}$ 

# Abundance of low-mass halos in the local simulated universe



#### Comparison with the ALFALFA survey



 $3^{h}$ 

1<sup>h</sup>

**Right Ascension** 

23h

#### Comparison with the ALFALFA survey



The coordinate system for an observer (LG) within the simulated box

Define the supergalactic plane with the LSC (using Virgo and Ursa Major) and the LG

Adjustment through rotations: "better" representation of the local environment given the limitations of the method and the freedom from the constraints at scales below ~ 7Mpc

#### Comparison with the ALFALFA survey



# The local H<sub>1</sub> velocity function (populating halos with galaxies)

- H<sub>I</sub> is a good tracer of the full gravitational potential at large radii (possibly underestimated if rotation curve keeps rising)
- HI-line width provides an estimate of  $V_{max}$  (after corrections for inclination and random motions)
- The VF provides a cleaner comparison between simulations and observations on the abundance of halos than the luminosity/stellar-mass function

# The local H<sub>1</sub> velocity function (populating halos with galaxies)

- H<sub>I</sub> is a good tracer of the full gravitational potential at large radii (possibly underestimated if rotation curve keeps rising)
- HI-line width provides an estimate of  $V_{max}$  (after corrections for inclination and random motions)
- The VF provides a cleaner comparison between simulations and observations on the abundance of halos than the luminosity/stellar-mass function
- Halo occupation (main focus low-mass population):
- Only central galaxies (main halos), satellites (substructure) are likely to be HI deficient (undetected by ALFALFA). Possible underestimate of the VF less than ~30% in sims (Klypin et al. 2011). ALFALFA likely missing a similar fraction (Zavala et al. 2009)
- V<sub>max</sub>(halo) to V<sub>max</sub>(disc) from simple model of adiabatic contraction (Mo et al. 1998) based on conservation of specific angular momentum and J<sub>disc</sub>/M<sub>disc</sub> = J<sub>halo</sub>/M<sub>halo</sub> (key assumptions for realistic disc in sims Zavala et al. 2008). Less relevant for low-mass systems (DM-dominated)

#### The H<sub>I</sub> velocity function

Zavala et al. 2009



Comparison made only with the first public catalogs (~6% of the final volume within ~27 Mpc)

Constrained simulations match the abundance at high velocities (~10 times higher than the whole box)

#### The H<sub>I</sub> velocity function

Zavala et al. 2009



Comparison made only with the first public catalogs (~6% of the final volume within ~27 Mpc)

Constrained simulations match the abundance at high velocities (~10 times higher than the whole box)

#### The H<sub>I</sub> velocity function

Zavala et al. 2009



Comparison made only with the first public catalogs (~6% of the final volume within ~27 Mpc)

Constrained simulations match the abundance at high velocities for the aVdR as well

#### Is ALFALFA missing halos at the plateau?

- Robust comparison with sims for  $V_{max}$ >35km/s ( $M_{halo}$ >8e9Msun):  $M_{HI}/M_{halo}$  needs to be ~ 0.16% for a halo of this mass to be missed at D~27 Mpc.
- Isolated dwarf galaxies are typically gas rich.



Stewart et al. 2009

We estimated  $f_{gas}=M_{HI}/(M_{HI}+M_{star})$ for  $\frac{3}{4}$  of the galaxies we used from ALFALFA and found that 90% of the ones with V<sub>max</sub><100 km/s have  $f_{gas}$ >0.1 (typically larger than 1 at low masses)

#### Is ALFALFA missing halos at the plateau?

- Robust comparison with sims for V<sub>max</sub>>35km/s (M<sub>halo</sub>>8e9Msun): M<sub>HI</sub>/M<sub>halo</sub> needs to be ~ 0.16% for a halo of this mass to be missed at D~27 Mpc.
- Isolated dwarf galaxies are typically gas rich.
- Suppression of H<sub>1</sub> in low-mass halos: SN-driven winds, UV photoionization typically very effective in simulations at  $M_c \sim 5x10^9 M_{Sun}$



# The HI line width might underestimate the maximum rotational velocity of the halo

 $M_{vir}$  ( $h^{-1}$   $M_{sun}$ ) Swaters et al. 2009 10<sup>10</sup> 10<sup>12</sup> 10<sup>13</sup>  $S^{-1}$ v<sub>rot</sub> (km s<sup>-1</sup>) v<sub>rot</sub> (km V nolo / 10,1 Radius (kpc) 70 80 90100 250 300 350  $v_{halo} (km s^{-1})$ 

Papastergis et al 2011

#### **Summary and Conclusions**

- Constrained simulations are a powerful tool to make detailed comparisons in our local environment.
- Observations on the abundance of DM-dominated galaxies still challenge the CDM model.
  - Abundance of MW satellites can be consistent with gal-form models.
  - Abundance of dwarfs in the field (H<sub>1</sub> ALFALFA) remains challenging.
- A systematic underestimation of  $V_{max}$ (halo) by the measurement of the HI-line width is a possible solution within CDM.
- WDM is a viable alternative but: current constraints from Ly  $\alpha$  semm to barely admit a viable solution.
- WDM halos are less concentrated so this might also solve the "too big to fail" problem (Marc Lovell's talk). However, they seem to have cores that are too small compared to the ones that are apparently found in some dSphs (Jorge Peñarrubia's talk).

## SELF INTERACTING DARK MATTER

### Is DM collisionless?

Bullet Cluster (Clowe et al. 2006)



 $\sigma / m < 1.25 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ (for relative velocities of O(1000km/s) Randall et al. 2008)

#### Velocity-dependent SIDM models (Sommerfeld enhancement)

Classical analog:



Figs. from M. Cirelli, DMV, Cambridge 2011



• Annihilation and self-scattering enhancement (e.g. Buckley and Fox 2010)



#### Velocity-dependent elastic SIDM models

Loeb and Weiner 2011



#### vdSIDM (re-simulate Aquarius MW-size halo)

Vogelsberger, JZ & Loeb 2012



#### vdSIDM (MW-size halo)



#### vdSIDM subhalos and the bright MW dSphs

Vogelsberger, JZ & Loeb 2012



## **EXTRA SLIDES**

#### Inner density profile of WDM subhalos



#### Cores in WDM halos

Kuzio de Naray et al. 2010, Maccio et al. 2012 ....

 $Q=\rho/\sigma^3$   $\longrightarrow$  Thermal velocities at decouple set a maximum value to Q that translates into a central density core



Maccio et al. 2012

Effect of the rotation of the coordinate system (up to 20deg around  $SG_{zero}$  in SGB and SGL)



Full volume within 27 Mpc in the two regions