

4 june 2009

Colloquium at the Colegio de España

Seeing Dark Matter in cosmic rays?!?

Marco Cirelli

(CNRS, IPhT-CEA/Saclay)

in collaboration with:

A.Strumia (Pisa)

N.Fornengo (Torino)

M.Tamburini (Pisa)

R.Franceschini (Pisa)

M.Raidal (Tallin)

M.Kadastik (Tallin)

Gf.Bertone (IAP Paris)

M.Taoso (Padova)

C.Bräuninger (Saclay)

P.Panci (Saclay)

Nuclear Physics B 753 (2006)

Nuclear Physics B 787 (2007)

Nuclear Physics B 800 (2008)

0808.3867 [astro-ph]

Nuclear Physics B 813 (2009)

JCAP03 009 (2009)

0904.1165

0904.3830

and work in progress

4 june 2009

Colloquium at the Colegio de España

Seeing Dark Matter in cosmic rays?!?

Marco Cirelli

(CNRS, IPhT-CEA/Saclay)

in collaboration with:

A.Strumia (Pisa)
N.Fornengo (Torino)
M.Tamburini (Pisa)
R.Franceschini (Pisa)
M.Raidal (Tallin)
M.Kadastik (Tallin)
Gf.Bertone (IAP Paris)
M.Taoso (Padova)
C.Bräuninger (Saclay)
P.Panci (Saclay)

Nuclear Physics B 753 (2006)
Nuclear Physics B 787 (2007)
Nuclear Physics B 800 (2008)
0808.3867 [astro-ph]
Nuclear Physics B 813 (2009)
JCAP03 009 (2009)
0904.1165
0904.3830

and work in progress

Questions


1. Are we seeing Dark Matter
in cosmic rays?

Questions

1. Are we seeing Dark Matter in cosmic rays?
2. Why there is new theory of DM on the arXiv every day?

The Evidence for DM

1) galaxy rotation curves




$$\Omega_M \gtrsim 0.1$$

2) clusters of galaxies




$$\Omega_M \sim 0.2 \div 0.4$$

3) CMB+LSS(+SNIa:)



$$\Omega_M \approx 0.26 \pm 0.05$$




DM exists.

It consists of a particle.
Permeates galactic haloes.

The Evidence for DM

1) galaxy rotation curves




$$\Omega_M \gtrsim 0.1$$

2) clusters of galaxies




$$\Omega_M \sim 0.2 \div 0.4$$

3) CMB+LSS(+SNIa:)



$$\Omega_M \approx 0.26 \pm 0.05$$



What is the DM??

It consists of a particle.
Permeates galactic haloes.


A thermal relic from the Early Universe

Boltzmann equation
in the Early Universe:

$$\Omega_X \approx \frac{6 \cdot 10^{-27} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}}{\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle}$$

Relic $\Omega_{\text{DM}} \simeq 0.23$ for

$$\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle = 3 \cdot 10^{-26} \text{cm}^3 / \text{sec}$$



Weak cross section:

$$\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle \approx \frac{\alpha_w^2}{M^2} \approx \frac{\alpha_w^2}{\mathbf{1 \text{ TeV}^2}} \Rightarrow \Omega_X \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{few } 0.1) \quad (\mathbf{WIMP})$$

DM detection

direct detection

Xenon, CDMS (Dama/Libra?)

production at colliders

LHC

indirect

γ from annihil in galactic halo or center
(line + continuum) Fermi

e^+ from annihil in galactic halo or center
PAMELA, ATIC, Fermi

$\bar{p}$ from annihil in galactic halo or center

$\bar{D}$ from annihil in galactic halo or center
GAPS

$\nu, \bar{\nu}$ from annihil in massive bodies
Icecube, Km³Net

DM detection

direct detection

production at colliders

indirect

γ from annihil in galactic halo or center
(line + continuum)

e^+ from annihil in galactic halo or center

PAMELA, ATIC, Fermi

$\bar{p}$ from annihil in galactic halo or center

$\bar{D}$ from annihil in galactic halo or center

$\nu, \bar{\nu}$ from annihil in massive bodies

DM detection

direct detection

production at colliders

indirect

γ from annihil in galactic halo or center
(line + continuum)

e^+ from annihil in galactic halo or center

PAMELA, ATIC, Fermi

$\bar{p}$ from annihil in galactic halo or center

$\bar{D}$ from annihil in galactic halo or center

$\nu, \bar{\nu}$ from annihil in massive bodies

DM detection

direct detection

production at colliders

indirect

γ from annihil in galactic halo or center
(line + continuum)

e^+ from annihil in galactic halo or center


$\bar{p}$ from annihil in galactic halo or center

$\bar{D}$ from annihil in galactic halo or center

$\nu, \bar{\nu}$ from annihil in massive bodies


Indirect Detection

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo




Indirect Detection

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo




Indirect Detection

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo




Indirect Detection

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo




Indirect Detection

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo




Indirect Detection

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo



Indirect Detection

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo



spectrum


$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - K(E) \cdot \nabla^2 f - \frac{\partial}{\partial E} (b(E)f) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (V_c f) = Q_{\text{inj}} - 2h\delta(z)\Gamma_{\text{spall}}f$$

diffusion energy loss convective wind source spallations

Salati, Chardonay, Barrau, Donato, Taillet, Fornengo, Maurin, Brun... '90s, '00s

Indirect Detection

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo




What sets the overall expected flux?

$$\text{flux} \propto n^2 \sigma_{\text{annihilation}}$$

Indirect Detection

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo




What sets the overall expected flux?

$$\text{flux} \propto n^2 \sigma_{\text{annihilation}}$$

astro&cosmo particle

Indirect Detection

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo



What sets the overall expected flux?

$$\text{flux} \propto n^2 \sigma_{\text{annihilation}}$$

astro&cosmo particle

reference cross section:
 $\sigma v = 3 \cdot 10^{-26} \text{cm}^3/\text{sec}$

DM halo profiles

From N-body numerical simulations:


$$\rho(r) = \rho_{\odot} \left[\frac{r_{\odot}}{r} \right]^{\gamma} \left[\frac{1 + (r_{\odot}/r_s)^{\alpha}}{1 + (r/r_s)^{\alpha}} \right]^{(\beta-\gamma)/\alpha}$$

Halo model	α	β	γ	r_s in kpc
Cored isothermal	2	2	0	5
Navarro, Frenk, White	1	3	1	20
Moore	1	3	1.16	30

At small r: $\rho(r) \propto 1/r^{\gamma}$

$$\rho(r) = \rho_s \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{2}{\alpha} \left(\left(\frac{r}{r_s} \right)^{\alpha} - 1 \right) \right]$$

Einasto | $\alpha = 0.17$ $r_s = 20$ kpc $\rho_s = 0.06$ GeV/cm³



cuspy: **NFW**, **Moore**



mild: **Einasto**

smooth: **isothermal**

Indirect Detection


Boost Factor: local clumps in the DM halo enhance the density, boost the flux from annihilations. Typically: $B \simeq 1 \rightarrow 20$ (10^4)

For illustration:




Computing the theory
predictions


Spectra at production





Spectra at production





Spectra at production



Spectra at production



Spectra at production



So what are the particle physics parameters?


1. Dark Matter mass
2. primary channel(s)

Comparing with data


Data sets

Positrons from PAMELA:

Payload for
Anti-
Matter
Exploration and
Light-nuclei
Astrophysics



92 GeV positron event



calibrated on accelerator fluxes

magnetic spectrometer:
charge and energy

calorimeter: $e^{\pm}$ vs $p/\bar{p}$

(make showers) (swipe thru)

Big challenge: backgnd contamination
from p (10^4 more numerous at 100 GeV)

Data sets


Positrons from PAMELA:

- steep e^+ excess above 10 GeV!
- very large flux!

positron fraction: $\frac{e^+}{e^+ + e^-}$

(9430 e^+ collected)

(errors statistical only,
that's why larger at high energy)




[backgnd]

Data sets

Positrons from PAMELA:

- steep e^+ excess above 10 GeV!
- very large flux!




[backgnd]

Data sets

Antiprotons from PAMELA:

- consistent with
the background



Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Results


Which DM spectra can fit the data?

E.g. a DM with: -mass $M_{\text{DM}} = 150 \text{ GeV}$

-annihilation $\text{DM DM} \rightarrow W^+ W^-$

(a possible SuperSymmetric candidate: wino)

Positrons:



Results


Which DM spectra can fit the data?

E.g. a DM with: -mass $M_{\text{DM}} = 150 \text{ GeV}$


-annihilation $\text{DM DM} \rightarrow W^+ W^-$

(a possible SuperSymmetric candidate: wino)

Positrons:



Anti-protons:



[insisting on Winos]

Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

E.g. a DM with: -mass $M_{\text{DM}} = 10 \text{ TeV}$

-annihilation $\text{DM DM} \rightarrow W^+ W^-$


Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?


E.g. a DM with: -mass $M_{\text{DM}} = 10 \text{ TeV}$

-annihilation $\text{DM DM} \rightarrow W^+ W^-$

Positrons:



Anti-protons:



Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?


E.g. a DM with: -mass $M_{\text{DM}} = 10 \text{ TeV}$

-annihilation $\text{DM DM} \rightarrow W^+ W^-$


but...: -cross sec $\sigma_{\text{ann}} v = 6 \cdot 10^{-22} \text{ cm}^3/\text{sec}$

Mmm...

Positrons:



Anti-protons:




Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA positrons only




Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA positrons + anti-protons




Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA positrons + anti-protons




(1) annihilate into leptons (e.g. $\mu^+ \mu^-$)

Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA positrons + anti-protons




- (1) annihilate into leptons (e.g. $\mu^+ \mu^-$) or
- (2) annihilate into $W^+ W^-$ with mass $\gtrsim 10$ TeV

Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Model-independent results:

Boost required by PAMELA




Data sets

Electrons + positrons from **ATIC**, **PPB-BETS**:



PPB-BETS
(Japan)

Polar
Patrol
Balloon
of the
Balloon-borne
Electron
Telescope with
Scintillating
fibers



ATIC (Usa + Germany, Russia, China)


Advanced
Thin
Ionization
Calorimeter

- bigger/denser: higher energy
- calorimeter only, no magnet:
no charge discrimination

Data sets

Electrons + positrons from ATIC, PPB-BETS:

- an $e^+ + e^-$ excess
at ~ 700 GeV??



(ATIC: 1724 $e^+ + e^-$ collected
at >100 GeV; 4σ above bkgnd)

Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

A DM with: -mass $M_{\text{DM}} = 1 \text{ TeV}$

-annihilation $\text{DM DM} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$


Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?


A DM with: -mass $M_{\text{DM}} = 1 \text{ TeV}$

-annihilation $\text{DM DM} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$


Positrons:



Anti-protons:



Electrons + Positrons:




Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?


A DM with: -mass $M_{\text{DM}} = 1 \text{ TeV}$

-annihilation $\text{DM DM} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$


Positrons:



Anti-protons:



Electrons + Positrons:



Have we identified the DM
for the first time???

Arkani-Hamed, Weiner et al. 0810: Yes!
+ a ton of others

Results

Which DM can fit the data?

M.Pospelov and A.Ritz, 0810.1502: Secluded DM - A.Nelson and C.Spitzer, 0810.5167: Slightly Non-Minimal DM - Y.Nomura and J.Thaler, 0810.5397: DM through the Axion Portal - R.Harnik and G.Kribs, 0810.5557: Dirac DM - D.Feldman, Z.Liu, P.Nath, 0810.5762: Hidden Sector - T.Hambye, 0811.0172: Hidden Vector - Yin, Yuan, Liu, Zhang, Bi, Zhu, 0811.0176: Leptonically decaying DM - K.Ishiwata, S.Matsumoto, T.Moroi, 0811.0250: Superparticle DM - Y.Bai and Z.Han, 0811.0387: sUED DM - P.Fox, E.Poppitz, 0811.0399: Leptophilic DM - C.Chen, F.Takahashi, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0477: Hidden-Gauge-Boson DM - K.Hamaguchi, E.Nakamura, S.Shirai, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0737: Decaying DM in Composite Messenger - E.Ponton, L.Randall, 0811.1029: Singlet DM - A.Ibarra, D.Tran, 0811.1555: Decaying DM - S.Baek, P.Ko, 0811.1646: U(1) Lmu-Ltau DM - C.Chen, F.Takahashi, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.3357: Decaying Hidden-Gauge-Boson DM - I.Cholis, G.Dobler, D.Finkbeiner, L.Goodenough, N.Weiner, 0811.3641: 700+ GeV WIMP - E.Nardi, F.Sannino, A.Strumia, 0811.4153: Decaying DM in TechniColor - K.Zurek, 0811.4429: Multicomponent DM - M.Ibe, H.Murayama, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.0072: Breit-Wigner enhancement of DM annihilation - E.Chun, J.-C.Park, 0812.0308: sub-GeV hidden U(1) in GMSB - M.Lattanzi, J.Silk, 0812.0360: Sommerfeld enhancement in cold substructures - M.Pospelov, M.Trott, 0812.0432: super-WIMPs decays DM - Zhang, Bi, Liu, Liu, Yin, Yuan, Zhu, 0812.0522: Discrimination with SR and IC - Liu, Yin, Zhu, 0812.0964: DMnu from GC - M.Pohl, 0812.1174: electrons from DM - J.Hisano, M.Kawasaki, K.Kohri, K.Nakayama, 0812.0219: DMnu from GC - A.Arvanitaki, S.Dimopoulos, S.Dubovsky, P.Graham, R.Harnik, S.Rajendran, 0812.2075: Decaying DM in GUTs - R.Allahverdi, B.Dutta, K.Richardson-McDaniel, Y.Santoso, 0812.2196: SuSy B-L DM- S.Hamaguchi, K.Shirai, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.2374: Hidden-Fermion DM decays - D.Hooper, A.Stebbins, K.Zurek, 0812.3202: Nearby DM clump - C.Delaunay, P.Fox, G.Perez, 0812.3331: DMnu from Earth - Park, Shu, 0901.0720: Split-UED DM - Gogoladze, R.Khalid, Q.Shafi, H.Yuksel, 0901.0923: cMSSM DM with additions - Q.H.Cao, E.Ma, G.Shaughnessy, 0901.1334: Dark Matter: the leptonic connection - E.Nezri, M.Tytgat, G.Vertongen, 0901.2556: Inert Doublet DM - C.-H.Chen, C.-Q.Geng, D.Zhuridov, 0901.2681: Fermionic decaying DM - J.Mardon, Y.Nomura, D.Stolarski, J.Thaler, 0901.2926: Cascade annihilations (light non-abelian new bosons) - P.Meade, M.Papucci, T.Volansky, 0901.2925: DM sees the light - D.Phalen, A.Pierce, N.Weiner, 0901.3165: New Heavy Lepton - T.Banks, J.-F.Fortin, 0901.3578: Pyrma baryons - Goh, Hall, Kumar, 0902.0814: Leptonic Higgs - K.Bae, J.-H. Huh, J.Kim, B.Kyae, R.Viollier, 0812.3511: electrophilic axion from flipped-SU(5) with extra spontaneously broken symmetries and a two component DM with Z_2 parity - ...

Results

Which DM can fit the data?


M.Pospelov and A.Ritz, 0810.1502: Secluded DM - A.Nelson and C.Spitzer, 0810.5167: Slightly Non-Minimal DM - Y.Nomura and J.Thaler, 0810.5397: DM through the Axion Portal - R.Harnik and G.Kribs, 0810.5557: Dirac DM - D.Feldman, Z.Liu, P.Nath, 0810.5762: Hidden Sector - T.Hambye, 0811.0172: Hidden Vector - Yin, Yuan, Liu, Zhang, Bi, Zhu, 0811.0176: **Leptonically decaying** DM - K.Ishiwata, S.Matsumoto, T.Moroi, 0811.0250: Superparticle DM - Y.Bai and Z.Han, 0811.0387: sUED DM - P.Fox, E.Poppitz, 0811.0399: **Leptophilic DM** - C.Chen, F.Takahashi, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0477: Hidden-Gauge-Boson DM - K.Hamaguchi, E.Nakamura, S.Shirai, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0737: Decaying DM in Composite Messenger - E.Ponton, L.Randall, 0811.1029: Singlet DM - A.Ibarra, D.Tran, 0811.1555: Decaying DM - S.Baek, P.Ko, 0811.1646: U(1) Lmu-Ltau DM - C.Chen, F.Takahashi, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.3357: Decaying Hidden-Gauge-Boson DM - I.Cholis, G.Dobler, D.Finkbeiner, L.Goodenough, N.Weiner, 0811.3641: **700+ GeV WIMP** - E.Nardi, F.Sannino, A.Strumia, 0811.4153: Decaying DM in TechniColor - K.Zurek, 0811.4429: Multicomponent DM - M.Ibe, H.Murayama, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.0072: Breit-Wigner enhancement of DM annihilation - E.Chun, J.-C.Park, 0812.0308: sub-GeV hidden U(1) in GMSB - M.Lattanzi, J.Silk, 0812.0360: Sommerfeld enhancement in cold substructures - M.Pospelov, M.Trott, 0812.0432: super-WIMPs decays DM - Zhang, Bi, Liu, Liu, Yin, Yuan, Zhu, 0812.0522: Discrimination with SR and IC - Liu, Yin, Zhu, 0812.0964: DMnu from GC - M.Pohl, 0812.1174: electrons from DM - J.Hisano, M.Kawasaki, K.Kohri, K.Nakayama, 0812.0219: DMnu from GC - A.Arvanitaki, S.Dimopoulos, S.Dubovsky, P.Graham, R.Harnik, S.Rajendran, 0812.2075: Decaying DM in GUTs - R.Allahverdi, B.Dutta, K.Richardson-McDaniel, Y.Santoso, 0812.2196: SuSy B-L DM- S.Hamaguchi, K.Shirai, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.2374: Hidden-Fermion DM decays - D.Hooper, A.Stebbins, K.Zurek, 0812.3202: Nearby DM clump - C.Delaunay, P.Fox, G.Perez, 0812.3331: DMnu from Earth - Park, Shu, 0901.0720: Split-UED DM - Gogoladze, R.Khalid, Q.Shafi, H.Yuksel, 0901.0923: cMSSM DM with additions - Q.H.Cao, E.Ma, G.Shaughnessy, 0901.1334: Dark Matter: the **leptonic connection** - E.Nezri, M.Tytgat, G.Vertongen, 0901.2556: Inert Doublet DM - C.-H.Chen, C.-Q.Geng, D.Zhuridov, 0901.2681: Fermionic decaying DM - J.Mardon, Y.Nomura, D.Stolarski, J.Thaler, 0901.2926: Cascade annihilations (light non-abelian new bosons) - P.Meade, M.Papucci, T.Volansky, 0901.2925: DM sees the light - D.Phalen, A.Pierce, N.Weiner, 0901.3165: New Heavy Lepton - T.Banks, J.-F.Fortin, 0901.3578: Pyrma baryons - Goh, Hall, Kumar, 0902.0814: Leptonic Higgs - K.Bae, J.-H. Huh, J.Kim, B.Kyae, R.Viollier, 0812.3511: electrophilic axion from flipped-SU(5) with extra spontaneously broken symmetries and a two component DM with Z_2 parity - ...

Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA positrons* + balloon experiments




*adding anti-protons does not change much, non-leptonic channels give too smooth spectrum for balloons

Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Model-independent results:


fit to PAMELA positrons* + balloon experiments




(1) annihilate into leptons (e.g. $\mu^+ \mu^-$), mass ~ 1 TeV

Data sets

Electrons + positrons from **FERMI** and **HESS**:




“Designed as a high-sensitivity gamma-ray observatory, the FERMI Large Area Telescope is also an electron detector with a large acceptance”




“The very large collection area of ground-based gamma-ray telescopes gives them a substantial advantage over balloon/satellite based instruments in the detection of high-energy cosmic-ray electrons.”

Data sets

Electrons + positrons from **FERMI** and **HESS**:




“Designed as a high-sensitivity gamma-ray observatory, the FERMI Large Area Telescope is also an electron detector with a large acceptance”



“The very large collection area of ground-based gamma-ray telescopes gives them a substantial advantage over balloon/satellite based instruments in the detection of high-energy cosmic-ray electrons.”

Data sets

Electrons + positrons from **FERMI** and **HESS**:




“Designed as a high-sensitivity gamma-ray observatory, the Fermi Large Area Telescope is also an electron detector with a large acceptance”

“The very large collection area of ground-based gamma-ray telescopes gives them a substantial advantage over balloon/satellite based instruments in the detection of high-energy cosmic-ray electrons.”

Data sets

Electrons + positrons adding FERMI and HESS:

- no $e^+ + e^-$ excess
- spectrum $\sim E^{-3.04}$
- a (smooth) cutoff?



[formerly predicted GLAST sensitivity]


Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?


$\mu^+\mu^-$, $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 1 \text{ TeV}$




Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

$\mu^+\mu^-$, $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 1 \text{ TeV}$




$\tau^+\tau^-$, $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 2 \text{ TeV}$




Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?


$\mu^+\mu^-$, $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 1 \text{ TeV}$



$\tau^+\tau^-$, $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 2 \text{ TeV}$




W^+W^- , $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 10 \text{ TeV}$



Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?


$\mu^+\mu^-$, $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 1 \text{ TeV}$




Notice:

- same spectra **still fit PAMELA** positron and anti-protons!

$\tau^+\tau^-$, $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 2 \text{ TeV}$




W^+W^- , $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 10 \text{ TeV}$



Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?


$\mu^+\mu^-$, $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 1 \text{ TeV}$




Notice:

- same spectra **still fit PAMELA** positron and anti-protons!

$\tau^+\tau^-$, $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 2 \text{ TeV}$



W^+W^- , $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 10 \text{ TeV}$




- no features in FERMI $\Rightarrow M_{\text{DM}} > 1 \text{ TeV}$
- a 'cutoff' in HESS $\Rightarrow M_{\text{DM}} \lesssim 3 \text{ TeV}$
- **smooth** lepton spectrum

Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA + FERMI + HESS (no balloon):




Strumia, Papucci et al. 0905.0480
see also: Bergstrom, Edsjo, Zaharijas 0905

(1) annihilate into leptons (e.g. $\tau^+ \tau^-$), mass ~ 3 TeV


Two important remarks

A. Maybe it's just a **pulsar**,
or other astrophysics



Hooper, Blasi, Serpico 2008
Profumo 0812.4457

B. Associated **gamma ray** and
radio constraints from
the GC and dwarf galaxies
are severe



Bertone, Cirelli, Strumia, Taoso 0811.3744


[jump to conclusions]

Astrophysical explanation?

Astrophysical explanation?

[others?]

Or perhaps it's just a **young, nearby** pulsar...




‘Mechanism’: the spinning $\vec{B}$ of the pulsar strips e^- that emit γ that make production of $e^\pm$ pairs that are trapped in the cloud, further accelerated and later released at $\tau \sim 0 \rightarrow 10^5$ yr (typical total energy output: 10^{46} erg).

Must be young ($T < 10^5$ yr) and nearby (< 1 kpc); if not: too much diffusion, low energy, too low flux.


Predicted flux: $\Phi_{e^\pm} \approx E^{-p} \exp(E/E_c)$ with $p \approx 2$ and $E_c \sim \text{many TeV}$

($1.4 < p < 2.4$, Profumo 2008)

Not a new idea:




A.Boulares, APJ 342 (1989)



Atoyan, Aharonian, Volk (1995)

Astrophysical explanation?

Or perhaps it's just a **young, nearby** pulsar...



Geminga pulsar

(funny that it means:
“it is not there” in milanese)

‘Mechanism’: the spinning $\vec{B}$ of the pulsar strips e^- that emit γ that make production of $e^\pm$ pairs that are trapped in the cloud, further accelerated and later released at $\tau \sim 0 \rightarrow 10^5$ yr.

Must be young ($T < 10^5$ yr) and nearby (< 1 kpc);
if not: too much diffusion, low energy, too low flux.


Predicted flux: $\Phi_{e^\pm} \approx E^{-p} \exp(E/E_c)$ with $p \approx 2$ and $E_c \sim \text{many TeV}$

Try the fit with known nearby pulsars:

TABLE 1
LIST OF NEARBY SNRs

SNR	Distance (kpc)	Age (yr)	$E_{\max}^a$ (TeV)
SN 185	0.95	1.8×10^3	1.7×10^2
S147	0.80	4.6×10^3	63
HB 21	0.80	1.9×10^4	14
G65.3+5.7	0.80	2.0×10^4	13
Cygnus Loop.....	0.44	2.0×10^4	13
Vela	0.30	1.1×10^4	25
Monogem	0.30	8.6×10^4	2.8
Loop1	0.17	2.0×10^5	1.2
Geminga.....	0.4	3.4×10^5	0.67


Kobayashi, Komori et al. 2004



Kobayashi, Komori et al. 2004

Astrophysical explanation?

Or perhaps it's just a **young, nearby** pulsar...



‘Mechanism’: the spinning $\vec{B}$ of the pulsar strips e^- that emit γ that make production of $e^\pm$ pairs that are trapped in the cloud, further accelerated and later released at $\tau \sim 0 \rightarrow 10^5$ yr.


Must be young ($T < 10^5$ yr) and nearby (< 1 kpc); if not: too much diffusion, low energy, too low flux.

Predicted flux: $\Phi_{e^\pm} \approx E^{-p} \exp(E/E_c)$ with $p \approx 2$ and $E_c \sim \text{many TeV}$

Try the fit with known nearby pulsars:


TABLE 1
LIST OF NEARBY SNRs

SNR	Distance (kpc)	Age (yr)	$E_{\max}^a$ (TeV)
SN 185	0.95	1.8×10^3	1.7×10^2
S147	0.80	4.6×10^3	63
HB 21	0.80	1.9×10^4	14
G65.3+5.7	0.80	2.0×10^4	13
Cygnus Loop.....	0.44	2.0×10^4	13
Vela	0.30	1.1×10^4	25
Monogem	0.30	8.6×10^4	2.8
Loop1	0.17	2.0×10^5	1.2
Geminga.....	0.4	3.4×10^5	0.67



Astrophysical explanation?

Or perhaps it's just a **young, nearby** pulsar...





'Mechanism': the spinning $\vec{B}$ of the pulsar strips e^- that emit γ that make production of $e^\pm$ pairs that are trapped in the cloud, further accelerated and later released at $\tau \sim 0 \rightarrow 10^5$ yr.

Must be young ($T < 10^5$ yr) and nearby (< 1 kpc); if not: too much diffusion, low energy, too low flux.


Predicted flux: $\Phi_{e^\pm} \approx E^{-p} \exp(E/E_c)$ with $p \approx 2$ and $E_c \sim$ many TeV

Try the fit with known nearby pulsars and **diffuse mature pulsars**:



Astrophysical explanation?

Or perhaps it's just a **young, nearby** pulsar...



‘Mechanism’: the spinning $\vec{B}$ of the pulsar strips e^- that emit γ that make production of $e^\pm$ pairs that are trapped in the cloud, further accelerated and later released at $\tau \sim 0 \rightarrow 10^5$ yr.

Must be young ($T < 10^5$ yr) and nearby (< 1 kpc);
if not: too much diffusion, low energy, too low flux.

Predicted flux: $\Phi_{e^\pm} \approx E^{-p} \exp(E/E_c)$ with $p \approx 2$ and
 $E_c \sim \text{many TeV}$

Open issue.


(look for anisotropies,
(both for single source and collection in disk)

antiprotons, gammas...
(Fermi is discovering a pulsar a week)

or shape of the spectrum...)


Two important remarks

A. Maybe it's just a **pulsar**,
or other astrophysics



Hooper, Blasi, Serpico 2008
Profumo 0812.4457

B. Associated **gamma ray** and
radio constraints from
the GC and dwarf galaxies
are severe



Bertone, Cirelli, Strumia, Taoso 0811.3744

[jump to conclusions]

DM detection

direct detection

production at colliders

indirect

γ from annihil in galactic center
and from synchrotron emission

HESS, radio telescopes

e^+ from annihil in galactic halo or center

PAMELA, ATIC, Fermi


$\bar{p}$ from annihil in galactic halo or center

$\bar{D}$ from annihil in galactic halo or center

$\nu, \bar{\nu}$ from annihil in massive bodies

Indirect Detection


γ from DM annihilations in galactic center



$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{DM} \text{---} \bullet \text{---} W^-, Z, b, \tau^-, t, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^\mp, \overset{(-)}{p}, \overset{(-)}{D} \dots \text{ and } \gamma \\
 & \text{DM} \text{---} \bullet \text{---} W^+, Z, \bar{b}, \tau^+, \bar{t}, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^\pm, \overset{(-)}{p}, \overset{(-)}{D} \dots \text{ and } \gamma
 \end{aligned}$$

Indirect Detection


γ from DM annihilations in galactic center



$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{DM} \rightarrow W^-, Z, b, \tau^-, t, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^\mp, \overset{(-)}{p}, \overset{(-)}{D} \dots \text{ and } \gamma \\
 & \text{DM} \rightarrow W^+, Z, \bar{b}, \tau^+, \bar{t}, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^\pm, \overset{(-)}{p}, \overset{(-)}{D} \dots \text{ and } \gamma
 \end{aligned}$$


Indirect Detection

γ from DM annihilations in galactic center




$DM + DM \rightarrow W^-, Z, b, \tau^-, t, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^\mp, \overset{(-)}{p}, \overset{(-)}{D} \dots \text{ and } \gamma$
 $DM + DM \rightarrow W^+, Z, \bar{b}, \tau^+, \bar{t}, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^\pm, \overset{(-)}{p}, \overset{(-)}{D} \dots \text{ and } \gamma$

typically sub-TeV energies



Indirect Detection


γ from DM annihilations in Sagittarius Dwarf



$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{DM} \quad \text{DM} \quad \rightarrow \quad W^-, Z, b, \tau^-, t, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^\mp, \overset{(-)}{p}, \overset{(-)}{D} \dots \text{ and } \gamma \\
 & \quad \quad \quad W^+, Z, \bar{b}, \tau^+, \bar{t}, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^\pm, \overset{(-)}{p}, \overset{(-)}{D} \dots \text{ and } \gamma
 \end{aligned}$$


Indirect Detection

radio-waves from synchrotron radiation of $e^\pm$ in GC




Indirect Detection

radio-waves from synchrotron radiation of $e^\pm$ in GC




- compute the population of $e^\pm$ from DM annihilations in the GC
- compute the synchrotron emitted power for different configurations of galactic $\vec{B}$
(assuming 'scrambled' B; in principle, directionality could focus emission, lift bounds by O(some))



Indirect Detection

γ from Inverse Compton on $e^\pm$ in halo




- upscatter of CMB, infrared and starlight photons on energetic $e^\pm$
- probes regions outside of Galactic Center

Comparing with data


Gamma constraints

HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not exceed that.




Gamma constraints

HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not exceed that.





Gamma constraints

HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not exceed that.



Gamma constraints


HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not exceed that.




Data: HESS coll., astro-ph/0408145 and astro-ph/0610509

Gamma constraints

HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not exceed that.




a) $M = 10$ TeV into W^+W^- , Galactic Center
 $\sigma v_{\text{ann}} = 10^{23} \text{ cm}^3/\text{sec}$



Data: HESS coll., astro-ph/0408145 and astro-ph/0610509

b) $M = 1$ TeV into $\mu^-\mu^+$, Galactic Ridge
 $\sigma v_{\text{ann}} = 10^{23} \text{ cm}^3/\text{sec}$





Data: HESS coll., astro-ph/0603021


Gamma constraints

HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not exceed that.

Moreover: no detection from Sgr dSph => upper bound.




Data: HESS coll., astro-ph/0408145 and astro-ph/0610509



Data: HESS coll., astro-ph/0603021

Gamma constraints

Several observations detected radio to IR emission from the Gal Center. The DM signal must not exceed that.




Gamma constraints

Several observations detected radio to IR emission from the Gal Center. The DM signal must not exceed that.

Davies 1978 upper bound at 408 MHz.

Davies'78



Gamma constraints


Several observations detected radio to IR emission from the Gal Center. The DM signal must not exceed that.

Davies 1978 upper bound at 408 MHz.

VLT 2003 emission at 10^{14} Hz.


Davies'78

integrate emission over a small angle corresponding to angular resolution of instrument




Gamma constraints


EGRET and **FERMI** have measured diffuse γ -ray emission. The DM signal must not exceed that.



FERMI coll.




Data: EGRET coll., Strong et al. astro-ph/0406254



Data: FERMI coll., several talks in 2009


Gamma constraints




The PAMELA and ATIC regions are in **conflict** with gamma constraints, unless...

Gamma constraints


DM DM $\rightarrow e^+e^-$, NFW profile




DM DM $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, NFW profile




DM DM $\rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$, NFW profile




DM DM $\rightarrow W^+W^-$, NFW profile



DM DM $\rightarrow b\bar{b}$, NFW profile




DM DM $\rightarrow t\bar{t}$, NFW profile




Gamma constraints


DM DM $\rightarrow e^+e^-$, isothermal profile




DM DM $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, isothermal profile




DM DM $\rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$, isothermal profile




DM DM $\rightarrow W^+W^-$, isothermal profile



DM DM $\rightarrow b\bar{b}$, isothermal profile




DM DM $\rightarrow t\bar{t}$, isothermal profile




...not-too-steep profile needed.

Gamma constraints


DM DM $\rightarrow e^+e^-$, isothermal profile




DM DM $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, isothermal profile




DM DM $\rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$, isothermal profile




DM DM $\rightarrow W^+W^-$, isothermal profile



DM DM $\rightarrow b\bar{b}$, isothermal profile



DM DM $\rightarrow t\bar{t}$, isothermal profile




...not-too-steep profile needed.

Or: take different boosts here (at Earth, for e^+) than there (at GC for gammas).

Or: take ad hoc DM profiles (truncated at 100 pc, with central void..., after all we don't know).


Inverse Compton γ constraints



Cirelli, Panci 0904.3830

The PAMELA
and ATIC regions
are in **conflict**
with gamma
constraints,
and here...

Inverse Compton γ constraints




Cirelli, Panci 0904.3830

see also: Regis, Ullio 0904.4645


DM annihilations: the game

Dark Matter
annihilations


DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game




DM annihilations: the game



DM annihilations: the game



DM annihilations: the game



[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

DM annihilations: the game

```

graph TD
    QM((?)) --- DM[Dark Matter annihilations]
    PPos[PAMELA positrons] --> HSA[huge σannv]
    PPos --> OTS[ordinary, thermal σannv]
    PAntiP[PAMELA anti-p] --> LO[leptons only]
    PAntiP --> OMBR[ordinary, mixed BRs]
    PAntiP --> L10T[10 TeV, WW, qq]
    
    HSA --> GO1[GAME OVER]
    OTS --> GO1
    
    OMBR --> GO2[GAME OVER]
    L10T --> GO3[GAME OVER]
    
    LO --> ATIC[ATIC 2+4]
    LO --> FERMI_HESS[FERMI e+e-, HESS e+e-]
    LO --> TTT[1 < 3 TeV, τ+τ-]
    
    ATIC --> M1[1 TeV, μ+μ-]
    
    TTT --> GCD[distrust the GC]
    GCD --> SISO[smooth isothermal DM profiles]
    SISO --> NS[numerical simulations?!]
    
    GCD --> GRCH[γ ray & radio constraints HESS]
    GRCH --> DGC[diffuse γ ICS constraints EGRET + FERMI]
    DGC --> SISO
    
    GCD --> NFW[standard NFW, Ein DM profiles]
    NFW --> GO4[GAME OVER (?)]
    
    GCD --> NFW2[standard NFW, Ein DM profiles]
    NFW2 --> GO5[GAME OVER]
  
```

The diagram illustrates the constraints on Dark Matter (DM) annihilation cross-sections (σ_{ann}) from various experiments:

- PAMELA positrons**: Leads to **huge $\sigma_{\text{ann}} v$** (which leads to **GAME OVER**) or **ordinary, thermal $\sigma_{\text{ann}} v$** (which also leads to **GAME OVER**).
- PAMELA anti-p**: Leads to **leptons only**, **ordinary, mixed BRs** (leading to **GAME OVER**), or **10 TeV, WW, qq** (leading to **GAME OVER**).
- leptons only**: Leads to **ATIC 2+4** (leading to **1 TeV, $\mu^+ \mu^-$**), **FERMI e^+e^- , HESS e^+e^-** , or **1 < 3 TeV, $\tau^+ \tau^-$** .
- 1 < 3 TeV, $\tau^+ \tau^-$** : Leads to **distrust the GC**.
- distrust the GC**: Leads to **smooth (isothermal) DM profiles** (which leads to **numerical simulations?!**) or **diffuse γ ICS constraints EGRET + FERMI**.
- diffuse γ ICS constraints EGRET + FERMI**: Leads to **smooth (isothermal) DM profiles**.
- smooth (isothermal) DM profiles**: Leads to **numerical simulations?!**.
- standard (NFW, Ein) DM profiles**: Leads to **GAME OVER (?)** or **GAME OVER**.

A large green arrow points from the bottom left towards the top left, indicating a shift in paradigm.

a real paradigm shift in DM modeling!

DM annihilations: the game

```

graph TD
    Start[Dark Matter annihilations] --> PPos[PAMELA positrons]
    Start --> PAntiP[PAMELA anti-p]
    
    PPos --> Huge[huge σannv]
    PPos --> Thermal[ordinary, thermal σannv]
    
    PAntiP --> Leptons[leptons only]
    PAntiP --> Mixed[ordinary, mixed BRs]
    PAntiP --> HighEnergy[10 TeV, WW, qq]
    
    Huge --> GO1[GAME OVER]
    Thermal --> GO1
    
    Mixed --> GO2[GAME OVER]
    HighEnergy --> GO3[GAME OVER]
    
    Leptons --> ATIC[ATIC 2+4]
    Leptons --> FermiHess[FERMI e+e-, HESS e+e-]
    Leptons --> Tau[1 < 3 TeV, τ+τ-]
    
    ATIC --> MuMu[1 TeV, μ+μ-]
    
    Tau --> GammaRadio[γ ray & radio constraints HESS]
    GammaRadio --> Distrust[distrust the GC]
    
    Distrust --> Smooth[smooth isothermal DM profiles]
    Distrust --> Diffuse[diffuse γ ICS constraints EGRET + FERMI]
    
    Standard1[standard NFW, Ein DM profiles] --> GO4[GAME OVER (?)]
    Standard2[standard NFW, Ein DM profiles] --> GO5[GAME OVER]
    
    Smooth --> Numerical[numerical simulations?!!]
    
```

The diagram illustrates the constraints on Dark Matter (DM) annihilation cross-sections ($\sigma_{\text{ann}} v$) from various experiments:

- PAMELA positrons**: Leads to either a **huge $\sigma_{\text{ann}} v$** (resulting in **GAME OVER**) or **ordinary, thermal $\sigma_{\text{ann}} v$** (also resulting in **GAME OVER**).
- PAMELA anti-p**: Leads to **leptons only**, **ordinary, mixed BRs** (resulting in **GAME OVER**), or **10 TeV, WW, qq** (resulting in **GAME OVER**).
- leptons only**: Further constrained by **ATIC 2+4** (leading to **1 TeV, $\mu^+\mu^-$**) and **FERMI e^+e^- , HESS e^+e^-** .
- 1 < 3 TeV, $\tau^+\tau^-$** : Leads to **γ ray & radio constraints HESS**, which results in **distrust the GC**.
- distrust the GC**: Leads to **smooth isothermal DM profiles** (which may involve **numerical simulations?!?**) or **diffuse γ ICS constraints EGRET + FERMI**.
- standard (NFW, Ein) DM profiles**: These profiles are shown as leading to **GAME OVER (?)** or **GAME OVER** under certain conditions.

A large green arrow points towards the conclusion: **a real paradigm shift in DM modeling!**

DM annihilations: the game

```

graph TD
    QM((?)) --- DM[Dark Matter annihilations]
    PPos[PAMELA positrons] --> HSA[huge σannv]
    PPos --> OTS[ordinary, thermal σannv]
    PAntiP[PAMELA anti-p] --> LO[leptons only]
    PAntiP --> OMBR[ordinary, mixed BRs]
    PAntiP --> L10T[10 TeV, WW, qq]
    
    HSA --> GO1[GAME OVER]
    OTS --> GO1
    
    OMBR --> GO2[GAME OVER]
    L10T --> GO3[GAME OVER]
    
    LO --> ATIC[ATIC 2+4]
    LO --> FERMI_HESS[FERMI e+e-, HESS e+e-]
    ATIC --> L1T[1 TeV, μ+μ-]
    FERMI_HESS --> L13T["1 < 3 TeV, τ+τ-"]
    
    L13T --> GCD[distrust the GC]
    GCD --> SISO[sMOOTH (isothermal) DM profiles]
    GCD --> NCS[numerical simulations?!]
    GCD --> DGC[diffuse γ ICS constraints EGRET + FERMI]
    
    SISO --> A[↑]
    A --> PRPS[a real paradigm shift in DM modeling!]
    
    SISO --> STD1[standard (NFW, Ein) DM profiles]
    STD1 --> GO4[GAME OVER (?)]
    
    STD2[standard (NFW, Ein) DM profiles] --> GO5[GAME OVER]
  
```

The diagram illustrates the constraints on Dark Matter (DM) annihilation cross-sections ($\sigma_{\text{ann}} v$) from various experiments:

- PAMELA positrons**: Leads to either a **huge $\sigma_{\text{ann}} v$** (resulting in **GAME OVER**) or **ordinary, thermal $\sigma_{\text{ann}} v$** (also resulting in **GAME OVER**).
- PAMELA anti-p**: Leads to **leptons only**, **ordinary, mixed BRs** (resulting in **GAME OVER**), or **10 TeV, WW, qq** (resulting in **GAME OVER**).
- leptons only**: Further constrained by **ATIC 2+4** (leading to **1 TeV, $\mu^+\mu^-$**) and **FERMI e^+e^- , HESS e^+e^-** (leading to **1 < 3 TeV, $\tau^+\tau^-$**).
- 1 < 3 TeV, $\tau^+\tau^-$** : Leads to **distrust the GC**.
- distrust the GC**: Points towards **smooth (isothermal) DM profiles**, **numerical simulations?!**, and **diffuse γ ICS constraints EGRET + FERMI**.
- smooth (isothermal) DM profiles**: Leads to a **real paradigm shift in DM modeling!** and also points back to standard profiles.
- standard (NFW, Ein) DM profiles**: Two instances are shown, both leading to **GAME OVER** (one with a question mark).

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

Answers

1. Are we seeing Dark Matter in cosmic rays?
2. Why there is new theory of DM on the arXiv every day?

Answers

1. Are we seeing Dark Matter
in cosmic rays?

*I don't know, I fear it's unlikely, but maybe...
maybe it's a pulsar.*

2. Why there is new theory of DM
on the arXiv every day?

Answers

1. Are we seeing Dark Matter in cosmic rays?

I don't know, I fear it's unlikely, but maybe... maybe it's a pulsar.

2. Why there is new theory of DM on the arXiv every day?

Because the signals point to a "weird" DM so theorists try to reinvent the field:

- DM is heavy*
- annihilates into leptons and not anti-protons*
- huge cross section (boost? Sommerfeld?)*
- must not produce too many gammas*

Answers

1. Are we seeing Dark Matter in cosmic rays?

I don't know, I fear it's unlikely, but maybe... maybe it's a pulsar.

2. Why there is new theory of DM on the arXiv every day?

Because the signals point to a "weird" DM so theorists try to reinvent the field:

- DM is heavy*
- annihilates into leptons and not anti-protons*
- huge cross section (boost? Sommerfeld?)*
- must not produce too many gammas*

Upcoming data: Fermi, ATIC-4, Pamela, HESS, AMS-02...

Answers

1. Are we seeing Dark Matter in cosmic rays?

I don't know, I fear it's unlikely, but maybe... maybe it's a pulsar.

2. Why there is new theory of DM on the arXiv every day?

Because the signals point to a "weird" DM so theorists try to reinvent the field:

- DM is heavy*
- annihilates into leptons and not anti-protons*
- huge cross section (boost? Sommerfeld?)*
- must not produce too many gammas*

~~Upcoming data: Fermi, ATIC-4, Pamela, HESS, AMS-02...~~

Conclusions

Indirect DM searches are powerful and promising.

Conclusions

Indirect DM searches are powerful and promising.

The recent PAMELA results might be a breakthrough:
excess in positrons, nothing in anti-protons.

Conclusions

Indirect DM searches are powerful and promising.

The recent PAMELA results might be a breakthrough:
excess in positrons, nothing in anti-protons.

Would anything go with PAMELA? Not at all!

DM must - annihilate into leptons (e.g. $\mu^+ \mu^-$) or
- annihilate into $W^+ W^-$ with mass $\gtrsim 10$ TeV
and you need a huge flux.

Conclusions

Indirect DM searches are powerful and promising.

The recent PAMELA results might be a breakthrough:
excess in positrons, nothing in anti-protons.

Would anything go with PAMELA? Not at all!

DM must - annihilate into leptons (e.g. $\mu^+ \mu^-$) or
- annihilate into $W^+ W^-$ with mass $\gtrsim 10$ TeV

and you need a huge flux.

Not your garden variety vanilla DM...

Conclusions

Indirect DM searches are powerful and promising.

The recent PAMELA results might be a breakthrough:
excess in positrons, nothing in anti-protons.

Would anything go with PAMELA? Not at all!

DM must - annihilate into leptons (e.g. $\mu^+\mu^-$) or
- annihilate into W^+W^- with mass $\gtrsim 10$ TeV
and you need a huge flux.

Not your garden variety vanilla DM...

Adding balloon data (ATIC, PPB-BETS):

DM must annihilate into $\mu^+\mu^-$ and have $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 1$ TeV

Conclusions

Indirect DM searches are powerful and promising.

The recent PAMELA results might be a breakthrough:
excess in positrons, nothing in anti-protons.

Would anything go with PAMELA? Not at all!

DM must - annihilate into leptons (e.g. $\mu^+\mu^-$) or
- annihilate into W^+W^- with mass $\gtrsim 10$ TeV
and you need a huge flux.

Not your garden variety vanilla DM...

Adding balloon data (ATIC, PPB-BETS):

DM must annihilate into $\mu^+\mu^-$ and have $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 1$ TeV

Adding FERMI & HESS data:

DM must annihilate into $\tau^+\tau^-$ (?) and have $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 2 \div 3$ TeV

Conclusions

Indirect DM searches are powerful and promising.

The recent PAMELA results might be a breakthrough:
excess in positrons, nothing in anti-protons.

Would anything go with PAMELA? Not at all!

DM must - annihilate into leptons (e.g. $\mu^+\mu^-$) or
- annihilate into W^+W^- with mass $\gtrsim 10$ TeV
and you need a huge flux.

Not your garden variety vanilla DM...

Adding balloon data (ATIC, PPB-BETS):

DM must annihilate into $\mu^+\mu^-$ and have $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 1$ TeV

Adding FERMI & HESS data:

DM must annihilate into $\tau^+\tau^-$ (?) and have $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 2 \div 3$ TeV

But: **gamma**, **synchrotron** and **ICS** constraints are severe!

Need a not-too-steep DM profile.

Conclusions

Indirect DM searches are powerful and promising.

The recent PAMELA results might be a breakthrough:
excess in positrons, nothing in anti-protons.

Would anything go with PAMELA? Not at all!

DM must - annihilate into leptons (e.g. $\mu^+\mu^-$) or
- annihilate into W^+W^- with mass $\gtrsim 10$ TeV
and you need a huge flux.

Not your garden variety vanilla DM...

Adding balloon data (ATIC, PPB-BETS):

DM must annihilate into $\mu^+\mu^-$ and have $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 1$ TeV

Adding FERMI & HESS data:

DM must annihilate into $\tau^+\tau^-$ (?) and have $M_{\text{DM}} \simeq 2 \div 3$ TeV

But: **gamma**, **synchrotron** and **ICS** constraints are severe!


Need a not-too-steep DM profile.

Future data (PAMELA, FERMI, AMS02...) will be crucial.
Will it be just some young, nearby pulsar?

Back up slides

The cosmic inventory

Most of the Universe is Dark.



FAvgQ: what's the difference between DM and DE?

DM behaves like **matter**

- overall it **dilutes** as volume expands
- **clusters** gravitationally on small scales
- $w = P/\rho = 0$ (NR matter)
(radiation has $w = -1/3$)

DE behaves like a **constant**


- it does not dilute
- does not cluster, it is prob homogeneous
- $w = P/\rho \simeq -1$
- pulls the acceleration, FRW eq. $\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G_N}{3}(1 - 3w)\rho$

DM N-body simulations

2×10^6 CDM particles, 43 Mpc cubic box


DM N-body simulations

2×10^6 CDM particles, 43 Mpc cubic box



DM N-body simulations

2dF: 2.2×10^5 galaxies
SDSS: 10^6 galaxies,
2 billion yr



Springel, Frenk, White, Nature 440 (2006)


Millennium:
 10^{10} particles,
 $500 h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$

[\[back\]](#)

The Evidence for DM


How would the power spectra be **without DM**?
(and no other extra ingredient)

CMB



(in particular: no DM $\Rightarrow$ no 3rd peak!)

LSS




(you need DM to gravitationally
“catalyse” structure formation)

Indirect Detection

Boost Factor: local clumps in the DM halo enhance the density, boost the flux from annihilations. Typically: $B \simeq 1 \rightarrow 20$ (10^4)


In principle, B is different for e^+ , anti- p and gammas, energy dependent, dependent on many astro assumptions (inner density profile of clump, tidal disruptions and smoothing...), with an energy dependent variance, at high energy for e^+ , at low energy for anti- p .

positrons



Lavalle et al. 2006

antiprotons



Lavalle et al. 2007

Indirect Detection

Propagation for **positrons**:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - K(E) \cdot \nabla^2 f - \frac{\partial}{\partial E} (b(E)f) = Q$$

diffusion
(in turbulent $\bar{B} \approx \mu\text{G}$,
assumed space indep.)
 $K(E) = K_0(E/\text{GeV})^\delta$
energy loss
 $b(E) = (E/\text{GeV})^2/\tau_E$
 $\tau_E = 10^{16} \text{ s}$


$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\rho}{M_{\text{DM}}} \right)^2 f_{\text{inj}}, \quad f_{\text{inj}} = \sum_k \langle \sigma v \rangle_k \frac{dN_{e^+}^k}{dE}$$

Model	δ	K_0 in kpc^2/Myr	L in kpc
min (M2)	0.55	0.00595	1
med	0.70	0.0112	4
max (M1)	0.46	0.0765	15

Solution:

$$\Phi_{e^+}(E, \vec{r}_\odot) = B \frac{v_{e^+}}{4\pi} \frac{\tau_E}{E^2} \int_E^{M_{\text{DM}}} dE' Q(E') \cdot I(\lambda_D(E, E'))$$

$$\lambda_D^2 = 4K_0\tau_E \left[\frac{(E/\text{GeV})^{\delta-1} - (E'/\text{GeV})^{\delta-1}}{\delta-1} \right]$$



Indirect Detection


Where do **positrons** come from?

Mostly locally, within 1 kpc
(more so at higher energy).

Typical lifetime (due to syn rad & IC):

$$\tau \approx 5 \cdot 10^5 \text{ yr} \frac{\text{TeV}}{E} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{B}{5\mu\text{G}}\right)^2 + 1.6 \frac{w}{\text{eV}/\text{cm}^3}}$$

(w = density of IS photons)




3. Indirect Detection

Results for **positrons**:

Astro uncertainties:

- propagation model
- DM halo profile
- boost factor B




3. Indirect Detection

Results for **positrons**:

Astro uncertainties:

- propagation model
- DM halo profile
- boost factor B

Distinctive signal,
quite robust vs astro.



3. Indirect Detection

Propagation for **antiprotons**:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - K(T) \cdot \nabla^2 f + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\text{sign}(z) f V_{\text{conv}}) = Q - 2h \delta(z) \Gamma_{\text{ann}} f$$

diffusion

convective wind

spallations


$$K(T) = K_0 \beta (p/\text{GeV})^\delta$$

T kinetic energy

Model	δ	K_0 in kpc^2/Myr	L in kpc	V_{conv} in km/s
min	0.85	0.0016	1	13.5
med	0.70	0.0112	4	12
max	0.46	0.0765	15	5

Solution:

$$\Phi_{\bar{p}}(T, \vec{r}_{\odot}) = B \frac{v_{\bar{p}}}{4\pi} \left(\frac{\rho_{\odot}}{M_{\text{DM}}} \right)^2 R(T) \sum_k \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma v \rangle_k \frac{dN_{\bar{p}}^k}{dT}$$



Indirect Detection

Solar wind Modulation of cosmic rays:

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\bar{p}\oplus}}{dT_{\oplus}} = \frac{p_{\oplus}^2}{p^2} \frac{d\Phi_{\bar{p}}}{dT},$$


spectrum
at Earth

spectrum
far from Earth

$$T = T_{\oplus} + |Ze|\phi_F$$

Fisk
potential $\phi_F \simeq 500$ MV


(11 yr) Solar Cycle Variations



Indirect Detection

Solar polarity Modulation of cosmic rays:

solar magnetic polarity reverses at (the max of) each cycle;
during ‘- polarity’ state, positive particles are more deflected away



Indirect Detection

Background computations for **positrons**:

$$\Phi_{e^+}^{\text{bkg}} = \frac{4.5 E^{0.7}}{1 + 650 E^{2.3} + 1500 E^{4.2}}$$


main source: CR nuclei
spallating on IS gas

$$\Phi_{e^-}^{\text{bkg}} = \Phi_{e^-}^{\text{bkg, prim}} + \Phi_{e^-}^{\text{bkg, sec}} = \frac{0.16 E^{-1.1}}{1 + 11 E^{0.9} + 3.2 E^{2.15}} + \frac{0.70 E^{0.7}}{1 + 110 E^{1.5} + 580 E^{4.2}}$$

Baltz, Edsjo 1999

On the basis of CR simulations of
Moskalenko, Strong 1998

More recently:
Delahaye et al., 0809.5268
P.Salati, Cargese 2007




We marginalize w.r.t. the slope
 E^p , $p = \pm 0.05$
and let normalization free.

[back]

Indirect Detection

Background estimation for **positrons**:

using new
measurements of
electron fluxes
Casadei, Bindi 2004




Indirect Detection

Background estimation for positrons:

relaxing the assumption of isotropy* in propagation model (aCDM = anisotropic convection driven transport model), allows to fit PAMELA with pure background

* (ROSAT X-ray satellite has seen fast, strong SN winds coming out from galaxy plane: not isotropic)




Indirect Detection

Background estimation for **positrons**:

SNRs in the spiral arm as sources of electrons (not positrons), whose flux drops at 10 GeV for energy loss = PAMELA

additional more local SNRs inject further electrons at 100 GeV = ATIC




Indirect Detection

Background estimation for **positrons**:

SNRs in the spiral arm as sources of electrons (not positrons), whose flux drops at 10 GeV for energy loss
= PAMELA

additional more local SNRs inject further electrons at 100 GeV = ATIC

But: *preliminary* PAMELA data on absolute e^- flux show harder spectrum ($E^{-3.33}$) than this prediction...;
do nearby sources agree with B/C...?





Indirect Detection

Background computations for **antiprotons**:

$$\log_{10} \Phi_{\bar{p}}^{\text{bkg}} = -1.64 + 0.07 \tau - \tau^2 - 0.02 \tau^3 + 0.028 \tau^4 \quad \tau = \log_{10} T / \text{GeV}$$

Bringmann, Salati 2006



We marginalize w.r.t. the slope E^p , $p = \pm 0.05$ and let normalization free.


[back]

Indirect Detection

Results for **anti-protons**:

Astro uncertainties:

- propagation model
- DM halo profile
- boost factor B




Indirect Detection

Results for **anti-protons**:

Astro uncertainties:

- propagation model
- DM halo profile
- boost factor B



Challenges for the 'conventional' DM candidates

Needs:

SuSy DM

KK DM

- TeV or multi-TeV masses

difficult

ok

- no hadronic channels

difficult

difficult

- no helicity suppression

no

ok

for any Majorana DM,
s-wave annihilation cross section

$$\sigma_{\text{ann}}(\text{DM } \bar{\text{DM}} \rightarrow f \bar{f}) \propto \left(\frac{m_f}{M_{\text{DM}}} \right)^2$$

Results


Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Ok, let's *insist* on Wino with: -mass $M_{\text{DM}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$
-annihilation $\text{DM DM} \rightarrow W^+ W^-$


- If one:
- assumes non-thermal production of DM
 - takes positron energy loss 5 times larger than usual
 - takes “min” propagation only
 - gives up ATIC
 - neglects conflict with EGRET bound (4 times too many gammas)

then:

Positrons:



Anti-protons:



Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

Ok, let's *insist* on KK DM with:

-mass $M_{\text{DM}} = 600 - 800 \text{ GeV}$


-annihilation $\text{DM DM} \rightarrow l^+ l^-$ ($BR = 60\%$)
 $\text{DM DM} \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ ($BR = 35\%$)

Good fit with: - boost $B = 1800$
 - propagation model


$$\text{B: } K(E_e) = 1.4 \times 10^{28} (E_e/4 \text{ GeV})^{0.43} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}, L=1 \text{ kpc}$$

very large energy loss with very small L


Positrons:



Electrons + Positrons:




Anti-protons:



Data sets


Electrons + positrons from Fermi-LAT:

Fermi detects gammas by pair production: it's inherently an e^+e^- detector



Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?



Astrophysical explanation?

see S.Profumo, 0812.4457

the **electron** spectrum has a steep deepening!

T.Delahaye et al., 09.2008

Casadei, Bindi 2004

Tsvi Piran et al., 0902.0376

- *difficult to get PAMELA slope?*
- *does it explain ATIC or HESS?*

CR proton collisions on **giant molecular clouds** produce e^+e^- !

Dogiel, Sharov 1990

- *does not work at $E > 30$ GeV*

Coutu et al (HEAT), 1990

Gamma Ray Bursts produce e^+e^- !

Ioka 0812.4851

- *maybe, constrained by gammas*

β^+ decays of ^{56}Co in SN produce e^+ !

ICRC 1990

- *low energy and low flux*

...

[back]

Model building

- Minimal extensions of the SM:
heavy WIMPS (Minimal DM, Inert Doublet)

Cirelli, Strumia et al. 2005-2009

Tytgat et al. 0901.2556

- More drastic extensions:
New models with a rich Dark sector

M.Pospelov and A.Ritz, 0810.1502: Secluded DM - A.Nelson and C.Spitzer, 0810.5167: Slightly Non-Minimal DM - Y.Nomura and J.Thaler, 0810.5397: DM through the Axion Portal - R.Harnik and G.Kribs, 0810.5557: Dirac DM - D.Feldman, Z.Liu, P.Nath, 0810.5762: Hidden Sector - T.Hambye, 0811.0172: Hidden Vector - K.Ishiwata, S.Matsumoto, T.Moroi, 0811.0250: Superparticle DM - Y.Bai and Z.Han, 0811.0387: sUED DM - P.Fox, E.Poppitz, 0811.0399: Leptophilic DM - C.Chen, F.Takahashi, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0477: Hidden-Gauge-Boson DM - E.Ponton, L.Randall, 0811.1029: Singlet DM - S.Baek, P.Ko, 0811.1646: U(1) Lmu-Ltau DM - I.Cholis, G.Dobler, D.Finkbeiner, L.Goodenough, N.Weiner, 0811.3641: 700+ GeV WIMP - K.Zurek, 0811.4429: Multicomponent DM - M.Ibe, H.Murayama, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.0072: Breit-Wigner enhancement of DM annihilation - E.Chun, J.-C.Park, 0812.0308: sub-GeV hidden U(1) in GMSB - M.Lattanzi, J.Silk, 0812.0360: Sommerfeld enhancement in cold substructures - M.Pospelov, M.Trott, 0812.0432: super-WIMPs decays DM - Zhang, Bi, Liu, Liu, Yin, Yuan, Zhu, 0812.0522: Discrimination with SR and IC - Liu, Yin, Zhu, 0812.0964: DMnu from GC - M.Pohl, 0812.1174: electrons from DM - J.Hisano, M.Kawasaki, K.Kohri, K.Nakayama, 0812.0219: DMnu from GC - R.Allahverdi, B.Dutta, K.Richardson-McDaniel, Y.Santoso, 0812.2196: SuSy B-L DM - S.Hamaguchi, K.Shirai, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.2374: Hidden-Fermion DM decays - D.Hooper, A.Stebbins, K.Zurek, 0812.3202: Nearby DM clump - C.Delaunay, P.Fox, G.Perez, 0812.3331: DMnu from Earth - Park, Shu, 0901.0720: Split-UED DM - Gogoladze, R.Khalid, Q.Shafi, H.Yuksel, 0901.0923: cMSSM DM with additions - Q.H.Cao, E.Ma, G.Shaughnessy, 0901.1334: Dark Matter: the leptonic connection - E.Nezri, M.Tytgat, G.Vertongen, 0901.2556: Inert Doublet DM - J.Mardon, Y.Nomura, D.Stolarski, J.Thaler, 0901.2926: Cascade annihilations (light non-abelian new bosons) - P.Meade, M.Papucci, T.Volansky, 0901.2925: DM sees the light - D.Phalen, A.Pierce, N.Weiner, 0901.3165: New Heavy Lepton - T.Banks, J.-F.Fortin, 0901.3578: Pyrra baryons - K.Bae, J.-H. Huh, J.Kim, B.Kyae, R.Viollier, 0812.3511: electrophilic axion from flipped-SU(5) with extra spontaneously broken symmetries and a two component DM with Z_2 parity - ...

- Decaying DM

Ibarra et al., 2007-2009

Nardi, Sannino, Strumia 0811.4153

A.Arvanitaki, S.Dimopoulos, S.Dubovsky, P.Graham, R.Harnik, S.Rajendran, 0812.2075

Model building

- Minimal extensions of the SM:
heavy WIMPS (Minimal DM, Inert Doublet)

Cirelli, Strumia et al. 2006-2009

Tytgat et al. 0901.2556

- More drastic extensions:
New models with a rich Dark sector

M.Pospelov and A.Ritz, 0810.1502: Secluded DM - A.Nelson and C.Spitzer, 0810.5107: Slightly Non-Minimal DM - Y.Nomura and J.Thaler, 0810.5397: DM through the Axion Portal - J.Falkowski and G.Feyn, 0810.5853: Dark Matter - D.Feldman, Z.Li, R.Nath, 0810.3762: Hidden Sector - T.Hambye, 0811.0172: Hidden Vector - K.Ishiwata, S.Matsumoto, T.Mori, 0811.0210: Hidden Sector - J.Liu, Y.Yin, 0811.0387: sUED DM - P.Fox, E.Poppitz, 0811.0399: Leptophilic DM - C.Chen, F.Takahashi, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0477: Hidden-Gauge-boson DM - E.Penton, L.Randall, 0811.1029: Singlet DM - S.Baek, P.Ko, 0811.1646: U(1) Lmu-Ltau DM - I.Cholis, G.Dobler, D.Finkbeiner, L.Goodenough, N.Werner, 0811.3841: 700+ GeV WIMP - K.Zurek, 0811.4429: Multicomponent DM - M.Ibe, H.Murayama, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.0072: Breit-Wigner enhancement in dark matter annihilation - J.Liu, Y.Yin, 0812.0360: Sommerfeld enhancement in dark matter annihilation - J.Silk, 0812.0360: Sommerfeld enhancement in cold substructure - M.Pospelov, A.Ritz, 0812.0360: Sommerfeld enhancement in cold substructure - M.Pohl, 0812.1174: electrons from DM - J.Hisano, M.Kawasaki, K.Kohri, K.Nakayama, 0812.0219: DMnu from GC - R.Aliakverdi, B.Dutta, K.Fuchino, 0812.0360: Sommerfeld enhancement in dark matter annihilation - J.Liu, Y.Yin, 0812.0360: Sommerfeld enhancement in cold substructure - A.Stebbins, R.Zurek, 0812.0360: Sommerfeld enhancement in cold substructure - R.Khalid, Q.Shafi, H.Yuksel, 0901.0923: cMSSM DM with additions - Q.H.Cao, E.Ma, G.Shaughnessy, 0901.1334: Dark Matter: the leptonic connection - E.Nezri, M.Tytgat, G.Vertongen, 0901.2556: Inert Doublet DM - J.Mardon, Y.Nomura, B.Stolarek, J.Thaler, 0901.3973: Cascade annihilations (light non-abelian new boson) - P.Meade, M.Papucci, T.Volansky, 0901.2925: DM sees the light - D.Phalen, A.Pierce, N.Weiner, 0901.3511: New light - J.P. van den Hoek, 0901.3511: New light - J.P. van den Hoek, 0901.3511: New light - P.K.Bae, J.-H. Huh, J.Kim, B.Kyae, R.Viollier, 0812.3511: electrophilic axion from flipped-SU(6) with extra spontaneously broken symmetries and a two component DM with Z_2 parity - ...

- Decaying DM

Ibarra et al., 2007-2009

Nardi, Sannino, Strumia 0811.4153

A.Arvanitaki, S.Dimopoulos, S.Dubovsky, P.Graham, R.Harnik, S.Rajendran, 0812.2075

The “Theory of DM”


Arkani-Hamed, Weiner, Finkbeiner et al. 0810.0713
0811.3641

Basic ingredients:

- χ Dark Matter particle, decoupled from SM, mass $M \sim 700+ \text{ GeV}$
- ϕ new gauge boson (“Dark photon”),
couples only to DM, with typical gauge strength, $m_\phi \sim \text{few GeV}$
 - mediates Sommerfeld enhancement of $\chi\bar{\chi}$ annihilation:

$$\alpha M/m_V \gtrsim 1 \quad \text{fulfilled}$$

- decays only into e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$
for kinematical limit




The “Theory of DM”

Arkani-Hamed, Weiner, Finkbeiner et al. 0810.0713
0811.3641

Basic ingredients:

- χ Dark Matter particle, decoupled from SM, mass $M \sim 700+ \text{ GeV}$
- ϕ new gauge boson (“Dark photon”),
 - couples only to DM, with typical gauge strength, $m_\phi \sim \text{few GeV}$
 - mediates Sommerfeld enhancement of $\chi\bar{\chi}$ annihilation:
 $\alpha M/m_V \gtrsim 1$ fulfilled
 - decays only into e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$
for kinematical limit




Extras:


- χ is a multiplet of states and ϕ is non-abelian gauge boson:
 - splitting $\delta M \sim 200 \text{ KeV}$ (via loops of non-abelian bosons)
 - inelastic scattering explains DAMA
 - excited state decay $\chi\chi \rightarrow \chi\chi^* \hookrightarrow e^+e^-$ explains INTEGRAL

The “Theory of DM”

Phenomenology:



Meade, Papucci, Volanski
0901.2925



Mardon, Nomura, Stolarski,
Thaler 0901.2926

Variations

(selected)

- ★ pioneering: Secluded DM, U(1) Stückelberg extension of SM

Pospelov, Ritz et al 0711.4866 P.Nath et al 0810.5762



- ★ Axion Portal: ϕ is pseudoscalar axion-like

Nomura, Thaler 0810.5397

- ★ singlet-extended UED: χ is KK RNnu, ϕ is an extra bulk singlet

Bai, Han 0811.0387

- ★ split UED: χ annihilates only to leptons because quarks are on another brane

Park, Shu 0901.0720


- ★ DM carrying lepton number: χ charged under $U(1)_{L_\mu - L_\tau}$, ϕ gauge boson ($m_\phi \sim$ tens GeV)

Cirelli, Kadastik, Raidal, Strumia 0809.2409

Fox, Poppitz 0811.0399

- ★ New Heavy Lepton: χ annihilates into Ξ that carries lepton number and decays weakly ($\sim$ TeV) ($\sim$ 100s GeV)


Phalen, Pierce, Weiner 0901.3165



★

“PAMELA did not do in-flight checks of the p rejection rate”

What a *little* dash of protons can do!



PAMELA claims p rejection of 10^{-5} . CAUTION! This is not verified using independent technique in flight.

“PAMELA did do in-flight checks of the p rejection rate”

Method: in the calorimeter, leptons leave all their energy and on the top;
protons leave little energy and in the bottom.

Proton background evaluation (pre-sampler method)

Rigidity: 20-28 GV


Fraction of charge
released along the
calorimeter track (left,
hit, right)

+

Constraints on:

Energy-momentum
match

Shower starting-point




Step 1: use the
upper portion of the
calorimeter to select
electrons only
($\bar{p}$ negligible)

Step 2: shower in lower
portion selects
protons only

Step 3: full analysis
(see that peak is
statistically consistent
with e^- peak of step 1)


Gamma constraints

HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not exceed that.




Gamma constraints

HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not exceed that.




Gamma constraints

HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not exceed that.




Gamma constraints

HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not exceed that.




a) $M = 10$ TeV into W^+W^- , Galactic Center
 $\sigma v_{\text{ann}} = 10^{23} \text{ cm}^3/\text{sec}$




Data: HESS coll., astro-ph/0408145 and astro-ph/0610509

Gamma constraints


HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not exceed that.



a) $M = 10$ TeV into W^+W^- , Galactic Center
 $\sigma v_{\text{ann}} = 10^{23} \text{ cm}^3/\text{sec}$





b) $M = 1$ TeV into $\mu^-\mu^+$, Galactic Ridge
 $\sigma v_{\text{ann}} = 10^{23} \text{ cm}^3/\text{sec}$




Gamma constraints

HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not exceed that.

Moreover: no detection from Sgr dSph => upper bound.




Data: HESS coll., astro-ph/0408145 and astro-ph/0610509



Data: HESS coll., astro-ph/0603021

Gamma constraints

Several observations detected radio to IR emission from the Gal Center. The DM signal must not exceed that.




Gamma constraints

Several observations detected radio to IR emission from the Gal Center. The DM signal must not exceed that.

Davies 1978 upper bound at 408 MHz.

Davies'78



Gamma constraints


Several observations detected radio to IR emission from the Gal Center. The DM signal must not exceed that.

Davies 1978 upper bound at 408 MHz.

VLT 2003 emission at 10^{14} Hz.


Davies'78

integrate emission over a small angle corresponding to angular resolution of instrument




Gamma constraints


EGRET and **FERMI** have measured diffuse γ -ray emission. The DM signal must not exceed that.



FERMI coll.



Data: EGRET coll., Strong et al. astro-ph/0406254



Data: FERMI coll., several talks in 2009