From the GUT to the meV scale in the Standard Model of the Universe: Inflation, Dark Matter and Dark Energy.

H. J. de Vega

LPTHE, CNRS/Université Paris VI

Colegio de España, Paris, June 2009, Physics of the Standard Model of the Universe: Theory and Observations

The History of the Universe

It is a history of EXPANSION and cooling down. EXPANSION: the space itself expands with the time.

 $ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t) d\vec{x}^2$, a(t) = scale factor.

FRW: Homogeneous, isotropic and spatially flat geometry. Cooling: temperature decreases as 1/a(t): $T(t) \sim 1/a(t)$.

The Universe underwent a succession of phase transitions towards the less symmetric phases.

Wavelenghts redshift as a(t) : $\lambda(t) = a(t) \frac{\lambda(t_0)}{a(t_0)}$

Redshift $z: z+1 = \frac{a(\text{today})}{a(t)}$, $a(\text{today}) \equiv 1$

The deeper you go in the past, the larger is the redshift and the smaller is a(t).

Standard Cosmological Model: Λ CDM

 \triangle CDM = Cold Dark Matter + Cosmological Constant begins by the Inflationary Era. Explains the Observations:

- 5 years WMAP data and previous CMB data
- Light Elements Abundances
- Large Scale Structures (LSS) Observations. BAO.
- Acceleration of the Universe expansion: Supernova Luminosity/Distance and Radio Galaxies.
- Gravitational Lensing Observations
- Lyman α Forest Observations
- Hubble Constant (H_0) Measurements
- Properties of Clusters of Galaxies

Standard Cosmological Model: Concordance Model

 $ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t) d\vec{x}^2$: spatially flat geometry.

The Universe starts by an INFLATIONARY ERA. Inflation = Accelerated Expansion: $\frac{d^2a}{dt^2} > 0$. During inflation the universe expands by at least sixty efolds: $e^{62} \simeq 10^{27}$. Inflation lasts $\simeq 10^{-36}$ sec and ends by $z \sim 10^{29}$ followed by a radiation dominated era. Energy scale when inflation starts $\sim 10^{16}$ GeV (\Leftarrow CMB anisotropies) which coincides with the GUT scale. Matter can be effectively described during inflation by a Scalar Field $\phi(t, x)$: the Inflaton.

Lagrangean:
$$\mathcal{L} = a^3(t) \left[\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} - \frac{(\nabla \phi)^2}{2 a^2(t)} - V(\phi) \right].$$

Friedmann eq.: $H^2(t) = \frac{1}{3 M_{Pl}^2} \left[\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} + V(\phi) \right], \ H(t) \equiv \dot{a}(t)/a(t)$

Physics during Inflation

- Out of equilibrium evolution in a fastly expanding geometry. Vacuum energy DOMINATES. $a(t) \simeq e^{Ht}$.
- Extremely high energy density at the scale of $\lesssim 10^{16}$ GeV.
- Explosive particle production due to spinodal or parametric instabilities.
- Quantum non-linear phenomena eventually shut-off the instabilities and stop inflation. Radiation dominated era follows: $a(t) = \sqrt{t}$.

Huge redshift classicalizes the dynamics: an assembly of (superhorizon) quantum modes behave as a classical and homogeneous inflaton field. Inflaton slow-roll.
 D. Boyanovsky, C. Destri, H. J. de Vega, N. G. Sánchez, arXiv:0901.0549, to appear in Int. J. Mod. Phys. A.

The Theory of Inflation

The inflaton is an effective field in the Ginsburg-Landau sense.

Relevant effective theories in physics:

- Ginsburg-Landau theory of superconductivity. It is an effective theory for Cooper pairs in the microscopic BCS theory of superconductivity.
- The O(4) sigma model for pions, the sigma and photons at energies ≤ 1 GeV. The microscopic theory is QCD: quarks and gluons. $\pi \simeq \bar{q}q$, $\sigma \simeq \bar{q}q$.
- The theory of second order phase transitions à la Landau-Kadanoff-Wilson... (ferromagnetic, antiferromagnetic, liquid-gas, Helium 3 and 4, ...)

Fermi Theory of Weak Interactions (current-current).

Slow Roll Inflaton Models



V(Min) = V'(Min) = 0: inflation ends after a finite number of efolds. Universal form of the slow-roll inflaton potential: $V(\phi) = N M^4 w \left(\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{N} M_{Pl}}\right)$ $N \sim 60$ number of efolds since horizon exit till end of

inflation. M = energy scale of inflation.

Slow-roll is needed to produce enough efolds of inflation.

SLOW and Dimensionless Variables

$$\chi = \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{N} M_{Pl}}$$
, $\tau = \frac{m t}{\sqrt{N}}$, $\mathcal{H}(\tau) = \frac{H(t)}{m \sqrt{N}}$, $\left(m \equiv \frac{M^2}{M_{Pl}}\right)$
slow inflaton, slow time, slow Hubble.
 χ and $w(\chi)$ are of order one.
Evolution Equations:

$$\mathcal{H}^{2}(\tau) = \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{1}{2 N} \left(\frac{d\chi}{d\tau} \right)^{2} + w(\chi) \right] ,$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{d^{2}\chi}{d\tau^{2}} + 3 \mathcal{H} \frac{d\chi}{d\tau} + w'(\chi) = 0 .$$
(1)

1/N terms: corrections to slow-roll

Higher orders in slow-roll are obtained systematically by expanding the solutions in 1/N.



– p. 9/5



The equation of state is p/e = -1 during inflation.

p/e strongly oscillates between +1 and -1 during the matter dominated stage. We have in average < p/e >= 0. We have here neglected spatial gradient terms

$$\frac{(\nabla \phi)^2}{2 a^2(t)}$$

since a(t) grows exponentially during inflation.

Primordial Power Spectrum

Adiabatic Scalar Perturbations: $P(k) = |\Delta_{k ad}^{(S)}|^2 k^{n_s-1}$. To dominant order in slow-roll:

$$|\Delta_{k \ ad}^{(S)}|^2 = \frac{N^2}{12 \pi^2} \left(\frac{M}{M_{Pl}}\right)^{-1} \frac{w^3(\chi)}{w'^2(\chi)}$$
.
Hence, for all slow-roll inflation models:

 $|\Delta_{k ad}^{(S)}| \sim \frac{N}{2\pi\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{M}{M_{Pl}}\right)^2$

The WMAP5 result: $|\Delta_{k ad}^{(S)}| = (0.494 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-4}$ determines the scale of inflation *M* (using $N \simeq 60$)

$$\left(\frac{M}{M_{Pl}}\right)^2 = 0.85 \times 10^{-5} \longrightarrow M = 0.70 \times 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$$

The inflation energy scale turns to be the grand unification energy scale !! We find the scale of inflation without knowing the tensor/scalar ratio r !! The scale M is independent of the shape of $w(\chi)$.

spectral index n_s and the ratio r

 $r \equiv$ ratio of tensor to scalar fluctuations. tensor fluctuations = primordial gravitons.

$$n_{s} - 1 = -\frac{3}{N} \left[\frac{w'(\chi)}{w(\chi)} \right]^{2} + \frac{2}{N} \frac{w''(\chi)}{w(\chi)} , \quad r = \frac{8}{N} \left[\frac{w'(\chi)}{w(\chi)} \right]^{2}$$
$$\frac{dn_{s}}{d \ln k} = -\frac{2}{N^{2}} \frac{w'(\chi) w'''(\chi)}{w^{2}(\chi)} - \frac{6}{N^{2}} \frac{[w'(\chi)]^{4}}{w^{4}(\chi)} + \frac{8}{N^{2}} \frac{[w'(\chi)]^{2} w''(\chi)}{w^{3}(\chi)}$$

 χ is the inflaton field at horizon exit. $n_s - 1$ and r are always of order $1/N \sim 0.02$ (model indep.) Running of n_s of order $1/N^2 \sim 0.0003$ (model independent).

D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, N. G. Sanchez, Phys. Rev. D 73, 023008 (2006), astro-ph/0507595.

Ginsburg-Landau Approach

We choose a polynomial for $w(\chi)$. A quartic $w(\chi)$ is renormalizable. Higher order polynomials are acceptable since inflation it is an effective theory.

$$\begin{split} w(\chi) &= w_o \pm \frac{\chi^2}{2} + G_3 \ \chi^3 + G_4 \ \chi^4 \quad , \quad G_3 = \mathcal{O}(1) = G_4 \\ V(\phi) &= N \ M^4 \ w \left(\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{N} \ M_{Pl}}\right) = V_o \pm \frac{m^2}{2} \ \phi^2 + g \ \phi^3 + \lambda \ \phi^4 \ . \\ m &= \frac{M^2}{M_{Pl}} \quad , \quad g = \frac{m}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\frac{M}{M_{Pl}}\right)^2 \ G_3 \quad , \quad \lambda = \frac{G_4}{N} \ \left(\frac{M}{M_{Pl}}\right)^4 \\ \text{Notice that} \\ \left(\frac{M}{M_{Pl}}\right)^2 \simeq 10^{-5} \quad , \quad \left(\frac{M}{M_{Pl}}\right)^4 \simeq 10^{-10} \quad , \quad N \simeq 60 \ . \end{split}$$

- Small couplings arise naturally as ratio of two energy scales: inflation and Planck.
- The inflaton is a light particle: $m = \frac{M^2}{M_{Pl}} \simeq 0.003 \ M$, $m = 2.5 \times 10^{13} \text{GeV}$



Theory and observations nicely agree except for the lowest multipoles: the quadrupole suppression.

Monte Carlo Markov Chains Analysis of Data: MCMC.

MCMC is an efficient stochastic numerical method to find the probability distribution of the theoretical parameters that describe a set of empirical data.

We found n_s and r and the couplings y and h by MCMC. NEW: We imposed as a hard constraint that r and n_s are given by the inflaton potential.

Our analysis differs in this crucial aspect from previous MCMC studies of the WMAP data.

The color–filled areas correspond to 12%, 27%, 45%, 68% and 95% confidence levels according to the WMAP3 and Sloan data.

The color of the areas goes from the darker to the lighter for increasing CL.

MCMC Results for the double–well inflaton potential



– p. 16/5

MCMC Results for double-well inflaton potential

Bounds: r > 0.023 (95% CL), r > 0.046 (68% CL)Most probable values: $n_s \simeq 0.964$, $r \simeq 0.051 \Leftarrow \text{measurable}!!$ The most probable double-well inflaton potential has a moderate nonlinearity with the quartic coupling $y \simeq 1.26 \dots$ The $\chi \rightarrow -\chi$ symmetry is here spontaneously broken since the absolute minimum of the potential is at $\chi \neq 0$

$$w(\chi) = \frac{y}{32} \left(\chi^2 - \frac{8}{y}\right)^2$$

MCMC analysis calls for $w''(\chi) < 0$ at horizon exit

 \implies double well potential favoured.

C. Destri, H. J. de Vega, N. Sanchez, MCMC analysis of WMAP3 data points to broken symmetry inflaton potentials and provides a lower bound on the tensor to scalar ratio, Phys. Rev. D77, 043509 (2008), astro-ph/0703417. Similar results from WMAP5 data. Acbar08 data slightly increases $n_s < 1$ and r.

Higher Order Inflaton Potentials

Till here we considered fourth degree inflaton potentials. Can higher order terms modify the physical results and the observable predictions?

We systematically study the effects produced by higher order terms (n > 4) in the inflationary potential on the observables n_s and r.

All coefficients in the potential w become order one using the field χ within the Ginsburg-Landau approach:

 $w(\chi) = c_0 - \frac{1}{2} \chi^2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{n} \chi^n , \quad c_n = \mathcal{O}(1) .$

All $r = r(n_s)$ curves for double–well potentials of arbitrary high order fall inside a universal banana-shaped region \mathcal{B} . Moreover, the $r = r(n_s)$ curves for double–well potentials even for arbitrary positive higher order terms lie inside the banana region \mathcal{B} .

The 100th degree polynomial inflaton potential



$$w(\chi) = \frac{4}{y} - \frac{1}{2}\chi^2 + \frac{4}{y}\sum_{k=2}^{n}\frac{c_{2k}}{k} \left(\frac{y^k}{8^k}\chi^{2k} - 1\right)$$

The coefficients c_{2k} were extracted at random. The lower border of the banana-shaped region is given by the potential:

$$w(\chi) = \frac{4}{y} - \frac{1}{2}\chi^2 + \frac{4}{ny}\left(\frac{y^n}{8^n}\chi^{2n} - 1\right)$$
 with $n = 50$.

The universal banana region



We find that all $r = r(n_s)$ curves for double–well inflaton potentials in the Ginsburg-Landau spirit fall inside the universal banana region \mathcal{B} .

The lower border of \mathcal{B} corresponds to the limiting potential:

 $w(\chi) = \frac{4}{y} - \frac{1}{2}\chi^2$ for $\chi < \sqrt{\frac{8}{y}}$, $w(\chi) = +\infty$ for $\chi > \sqrt{\frac{8}{y}}$ This gives a lower bound for r for all potentials in the Ginsburg-Landau class: r > 0.021 for the current best value of the spectral index $n_s = 0.964$.

The Energy Scale of Inflation

Grand Unification Idea (GUT)

- Renormalization group running of electromagnetic, weak and strong couplings shows that they all meet at $E_{GUT} \simeq 2 \times 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$
- Neutrino masses are explained by the see-saw mechanism: $m_{\nu} \sim \frac{M_{\rm Fermi}^2}{M_R}$ with $M_R \sim 10^{16}$ GeV.
- Inflation energy scale: $M \simeq 10^{16}$ GeV.

Conclusion: the GUT energy scale appears in at least three independent ways.

Moreover, moduli potentials: $V_{moduli} = M_{SUSY}^4 v \left(\frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}}\right)$

ressemble inflation potentials provided $M_{SUSY} \sim 10^{16}$ GeV. First observation of SUSY in nature??

The Universe is made of radiation, matter and dark energy



End of inflation: $z \sim 10^{29}$, $T_{reh} \lesssim 10^{16}$ GeV, $t \sim 10^{-36}$ sec. E-W phase transition: $z \sim 10^{15}$, $T_{\rm EW} \sim 100$ GeV, $t \sim 10^{-11}$ s. QCD conf. transition: $z \sim 10^{12}$, $T_{\rm QCD} \sim 170$ MeV, $t \sim 10^{-5}$ s. BBN: $z \sim 10^9$, $T \simeq 0.1$ MeV, $t \sim 20$ sec. Rad-Mat equality: $z \simeq 3050$, $T \simeq 0.7$ eV, $t \sim 57000$ yr. CMB last scattering: $z \simeq 1100$, $T \simeq 0.25$ eV, $t \sim 370000$ yr. Mat-DE equality: $z \simeq 0.47$, $T \simeq 0.345$ meV, $t \sim 8.9$ Gyr. Today: z = 0, T = 2.725K = 0.2348 meV t = 13.72 Gyr.

Dark Matter

DM must be non-relativistic by structure formation (z < 30) in order to reproduce the observed small structures at $\sim 2-3$ kpc. DM particles can decouple being ultrarelativistic (UR) at $T_d \gg m$ or non-relativistic $T_d \ll m$. Consider particles that decouple at or out of LTE (LTE = local thermal equilibrium). Distribution function:

 $f_d[a(t) P_f(t)] = f_d[p_c]$ freezes out at decoupling. $P_f(t) = p_c/a(t) =$ Physical momentum. $p_c =$ comoving momentum.

Velocity fluctuations: $y = P_f(t)/T_d(t) = p_c/T_d$ $\langle \vec{V}^2(t) \rangle = \langle \frac{\vec{P}_f^2(t)}{m^2} \rangle = \frac{\int \frac{d^3 P_f}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\vec{P}_f^2}{m^2} f_d[a(t) P_f]}{\int \frac{d^3 P_f}{(2\pi)^3} f_d[a(t) P_f]} = \left[\frac{T_d}{m a(t)}\right]^2 \frac{\int_0^\infty y^4 f_d(y) dy}{\int_0^\infty y^2 f_d(y) dy} .$

The formula for the Mass of the Dark Matter particles

Energy Density: $\rho_{DM}(t) = g \int \frac{d^3 P_f}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{m^2 + P_f^2} f_d[a(t) P_f]$ q: # of internal degrees of freedom of the DM particle, $1 \le g \le 4$. For $z \le 30 \Rightarrow$ DM particles are non-relativistic: $\rho_{DM}(t) = m \ g \ \frac{T_d^3}{a^3(t)} \ \int_0^\infty y^2 \ f_d(y) \ \frac{dy}{2\pi^2} \ .$ Using entropy conservation: $T_d = \left(\frac{2}{q_d}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} T_{\gamma} (1+z_d)$, $g_d = \text{effective } \# \text{ of UR degrees of freedom at decoupling,}$ $T_{\gamma} = 0.2348 \text{ meV}$, $1 \text{ meV} = 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$. Today $\Omega_{DM} = \rho_{DM}(0)/\rho_c = 0.105/h^2$ and we obtain for the mass of the DM particle:

$$m = 6.986 \text{ eV} \frac{g_d}{g \int_0^\infty y^2 f_d(y) \, dy}$$

 $\frac{\text{Goal}: \text{ determine } m \text{ and } g_d}{\text{We need TWO constraints}}$

Phase-space density invariant under universe expansion

Using again entropy conservation to replace T_d yields for the one-dimensional velocity dispersion,

$$\sigma_{DM}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \langle \vec{V}^2 \rangle(z) = \frac{2^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{1+z}{g_d^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{T_{\gamma}}{m} \sqrt{\frac{\int_0^{\infty} y^4 F_d(y) \, dy}{\int_0^{\infty} y^2 F_d(y) \, dy}} = 0.05124 \frac{1+z}{g_d^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{\text{keV}}{m} \left[\frac{\int_0^{\infty} y^4 F_d(y) \, dy}{\int_0^{\infty} y^2 F_d(y) \, dy} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\text{km}}{\text{s}}.$$

Phase-space density: $\mathcal{D} \equiv \frac{n(t)}{\langle \vec{P}_{phys}^2(t) \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}} \stackrel{\text{non-rel}}{=} \frac{\rho_{DM}}{3\sqrt{3}m^4 \sigma_{DM}^3}$

 $\ensuremath{\mathcal{D}}$ is computed theoretically from freezed-out distributions:

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{g}{2 \pi^2} \frac{\left[\int_0^\infty y^2 F_d(y) dy\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left[\int_0^\infty y^4 F_d(y) dy\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

Theorem: The phase-space density \mathcal{D} can only decrease under self-gravity interactions (gravitational clustering) [Lynden-Bell, Tremaine, Henon, 1986].

Phase-space density invariant \mathcal{D}

Observing dwarf spheroidal satellite galaxies in the Milky Way (dSphs) yields $\frac{\rho_s}{\sigma_s^3} \sim 5 \times 10^3 \ \frac{\text{keV/cm}^3}{(\text{km/s})^3} = (0.18 \text{ keV})^4$ Gilmore et al. 07 and 08. During structure formation $z \leq 30$, \mathcal{D} reduces by a factor that we call Z. Since $\mathcal{D} = \rho_{DM} / [3\sqrt{3} m^4 \sigma_{DM}^3]$, $\frac{\rho_s}{\sigma_s^3} = \frac{1}{Z} \frac{\rho_{DM}}{\sigma_{DM}^3}$ N-body simulations results: 1000 > Z > 1. $\rho_{DM} = 1.107 \times \text{keV/cm}^3 = \text{average value today.}$ We thus obtain general formulas for m and g_d : $m = (27)^{-\frac{1}{8}} \rho_{DM}^{\frac{1}{4}} \sigma_{DM}^{-\frac{3}{4}} = 0.2504 \,\text{keV} \left(\frac{Z}{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} y^{4} F_{d}(y) \, dy\right]^{8}}{\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} y^{2} F_{d}(y) \, dy\right]^{\frac{5}{8}}}$ $g_d = 35.96 Z^{\frac{1}{4}} g^{\frac{3}{4}} \left[\int_0^\infty y^4 F_d(y) \, dy \, \left[\int_0^\infty y^2 F_d(y) \, dy \right]^{\frac{3}{8}} \right]$

Mass Estimates of DM particles

Our previous formulas yield for relics decoupling UR at LTE: $m = \left(\frac{Z}{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \text{ keV } \begin{cases} 0.568\\ 0.484 \end{cases}, g_d = g^{\frac{3}{4}} Z^{\frac{1}{4}} \begin{cases} 155 \text{ Fermions}\\ 180 \text{ Bosons} \end{cases}$. Since g = 1 - 4, we see that $g_d > 100 \Rightarrow T_d > 100$ GeV. $1 < Z^{\frac{1}{4}} < 5.6$ for 1 < Z < 1000. Example: for DM Majorana fermions $(g = 2) \ m \simeq 0.85$ keV.

Sterile neutrinos ν as DM decoupling out of LTE and UR. ν is a singlet Majorana fermion with a Majorana mass m_{ν} coupled with a Yukawa-type coupling $Y \sim 10^{-8}$ to a real scalar field χ . χ is more strongly coupled to the particles in the Standard Model. [Chikashige,Mohapatra,Peccei (1981), Gelmini,Roncadelli (1981), Schechter, Valle (1982), Shaposhnikov, Tkachev (2006), Boyanovsky (2008)]

DM particles decoupling out of LTE and UR

Distribution function: $F_d^{\nu}(y) = \tau \frac{g_{\frac{5}{2}}(y)}{\sqrt{y}}$, $g_{\frac{5}{2}}(y) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ny}}{n^{\frac{5}{2}}}$ $F_d^{\nu}(y)$ is enhanced for small y and suppressed for large y compared with Fermi-Dirac. We find for Sterile neutrinos DM: $m_{\nu} \sim \left(\frac{Z}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ 0.434 keV, $g_d \sim \tau^{\frac{3}{4}} Z^{\frac{1}{4}}$ 185. Typical coupling range: $0.035 \leq \tau \leq 0.35$.

Generally speaking, thermalization is reached by the mixing of the particle modes and scattering between particles: the larger momentum modes are populated by a cascade towards the ultraviolet akin to a cascade in turbulence. In case the DM particles decouple not yet being at LTE, their momentum distribution peaks at smaller momenta than at LTE since the UV cascade is not yet completed. As a final result m can be reduced by a factor about ~ 2 and g_d even more. D. Boyanovsky, C. Destri, H. J. de Vega, PRD69,045003(2004), CD, HJdeV, PRD73,025014(2006)

Relics decoupling non-relativistic

 $F_d^{NR}(p_c) = \frac{2^{\frac{5}{2}}\pi^{\frac{7}{2}}}{45} g_d Y_{\infty} \left(\frac{T_d}{m}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{p_c^2}{2mT_d}} = \frac{2^{\frac{5}{2}}\pi^{\frac{7}{2}}}{45} \frac{g_d Y_{\infty}}{x^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2x}}$ Y(t) = n(t)/s(t), n(t) number of DM particles per unit volume, s(t) entropy per unit volume, $x \equiv m/T_d, T_d < m$. $Y_{\infty} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{45}{8}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_d} T_d \sigma_0 M_{Pl}}$ late time limit of Boltzmann. σ_0 : thermally averaged total annihilation cross-section times the velocity.

From our general equations for m and g_d :

 $m = \frac{45}{4 \pi^2} \frac{\Omega_{DM} \rho_c}{g T_{\gamma}^3 Y_{\infty}} = \frac{0.748}{g Y_{\infty}} \text{ eV} \text{ and } m^{\frac{5}{2}} T_d^{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{45}{2 \pi^2} \frac{1}{g g_d Y_{\infty}} Z \frac{\rho_s}{\sigma_s^3}$ Finally:

$$\sqrt{m T_d} = 1.47 \left(\frac{Z}{g_d}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \text{keV}.$$
 $m = 3.67 \text{ keV } Z^{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{g_d^{\frac{1}{12}}}{\sqrt{g}} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_0}{\text{pb}}}$

We used ρ_{DM} today and the decrease of the phase space density by a factor Z. 1 pb = 10^{-36} cm² = $0.257 / (10^5 \text{ GeV}^2)$.

Relics decoupling non-relativistic 2

Allowed ranges for m and T_d . $m > T_d > b$ eV where b > 1 or $b \gg 1$ for DM decoupling in the RD era

$$\left(\frac{Z}{g_d}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$
 1.47 keV < $m < \frac{2.16}{b}$ MeV $\left(\frac{Z}{g_d}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$

 $g_d \simeq 3$ for $1 \text{ eV} < T_d < 100 \text{ keV}$ and $1 < Z < 10^3$ $1.02 \text{ keV} < m < \frac{104}{b} \text{ MeV}$, $T_d < 10.2 \text{ keV}$.

D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, N. Sanchez, Phys. Rev. D 77, 043518 (2008), arXiv:0710.5180. H. J. de Vega, N. G. Sanchez, arXiv:0901.0922.

Only using ρ_{DM} today (ignoring the phase space density information) gives one equation with three unknowns, m, T_d and σ_0 :

$$\sigma_0 = 0.16 \text{ pbarn } \frac{g}{\sqrt{g_d}} \frac{m}{T_d}$$
 http://pdg.lbl.gov

Dark Energy

 $76 \pm 5\%$ of the present energy of the Universe is Dark ! Current observed value:

 $\rho_{\Lambda} = \Omega_{\Lambda} \ \rho_c = (2.39 \text{ meV})^4$, $1 \text{ meV} = 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$. Equation of state $p_{\Lambda} = -\rho_{\Lambda}$ within observational errors. Quantum zero point energy. Renormalized value is finite. Bosons (fermions) give positive (negative) contributions. Mass of the lightest particles $\sim 1 \text{ meV}$ is in the right scale. Spontaneous symmetry breaking of continuous symmetries produces massless scalars as Goldstone bosons. A small symmetry breaking provide light scalars: axions, majorons... Observational Axion window $10^{-3} \text{ meV} \leq M_{\text{axion}} \leq 10 \text{ meV}$. Dark energy can be a cosmological zero point effect. (As the Casimir effect in Minkowski with non-trivial boundaries). We need to learn the physics of light particles (< 1 MeV), also to understand dark matter !!

Summary and Conclusions

- We formulate inflation as an effective field theory in the Ginsburg-Landau spirit with energy scale $M \sim M_{GUT} \sim 10^{16} \text{ GeV} \ll M_{Pl}$. Inflaton mass small: $m \sim H/\sqrt{N} \sim M^2/M_{Pl} \ll M$. Infrared regime !!
- For all slow-roll models $n_s 1$ and r are 1/N, $N \sim 60$.
- MCMC analysis of WMAP+LSS data plus this theory input indicates a spontaneously broken inflaton potential: $w(\chi) = \frac{y}{32} \left(\chi^2 \frac{8}{y}\right)^2$, $y \simeq 1.26$.
- Lower Bounds: r > 0.023 (95% CL), r > 0.046 (68% CL). The most probable values are $r \simeq 0.051 (\Leftarrow \text{measurable} \ !!)$ $n_s \simeq 0.964$.
- Model independent analysis of dark matter points to a particle mass at the keV scale. T_d may be > 100 GeV. DM is cold.

Summary and Conclusions 2

- CMB quadrupole suppression may be explained by the effect of fast-roll inflation provided the today's horizon size modes exited by the end of fast-roll inflation.
- Quantum (loop) corrections in the effective theory are of the order $(H/M_{Pl})^2 \sim 10^{-9}$. Same order of magnitude as loop graviton corrections.
- D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, N. G. Sanchez,

Quantum corrections to the inflaton potential and the power spectra from superhorizon modes and trace anomalies, Phys. Rev. D 72, 103006 (2005), astro-ph/0507596.

Quantum corrections to slow roll inflation and new scaling of superhorizon fluctuations. Nucl. Phys. B 747, 25 (2006), astro-ph/0503669.

Future Perspectives

The Golden Age of Cosmology and Astrophysics continues._

A wealth of data from WMAP (7 yr), Planck, Atacama Cosmology Tel and further experiments are coming.

Galaxy and Star formation. DM properties from astronomical observations. Better bounds on DM cross-sections.

DM in planets and the earth. Flyby and Pioneer anomalies? The Dark Ages...Reionisation...the 21cm line... Nature of Dark Energy? 76% of the energy of the universe. Nature of Dark Matter? 83% of the matter in the universe. Light DM particles are strongly favoured $m_{DM} \sim$ keV. Sterile neutrinos? Some unknown light particle ?? Need to learn about the physics of light particles (< 1 MeV)._

COSMIC HISTORY AND CMB QUADRUPOLE SUPPRESSION



THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR ATTENTION!!

Higher Order Inflaton Potentials

Can higher order terms modify the physical results and the observable predictions?

We find that all $r = r(n_s)$ curves for double–well inflaton potentials in the Ginsburg-Landau spirit fall inside the universal banana region \mathcal{B} .



This gives a lower bound for r for all potentials in the Ginsburg-Landau class: r > 0.021 for the current best value of the spectral index $n_s = 0.964$.

Out of equilibrium Decoupling

Thermalization mechanism: *k*-modes cascade towards the UV till the thermal distribution is attained. D. Boyanovsky, C. Destri, H. J. de Vega, PRD69, 045003 (2004), C. Destri, H. J. de Vega, PRD73, 025014 (2006)

Hence, before LTE is reached: lower momenta are more populated than at LTE. An approximate description:

 $f_d(y) = f_{equil}(y/\xi) \ \theta(y_0 - y), \ \xi < 1 \text{ out of equilibrium}$

Modes with $p_c > y_0 T_d$ are empty. $[y = p_c/T_d]$.

For fermions: $m = 6.99 \text{ eV} (g_d/g) F(\infty) / [\xi^3 F(y_0/\xi)]$

 $F(s) \equiv \int_0^s f_{equil}(w) w^2 dw$, $F(\infty)/[\xi^3 F(y_0/\xi)] > 1$.

The number of efolds in Slow-roll

The number of e-folds $N[\chi]$ since the field χ exits the horizon till the end of inflation is:

 $N[\chi] = N \int_{\chi_{end}}^{\chi} \frac{w(\chi)}{w'(\chi)} d\chi$. We choose then $N = N[\chi]$.

The spontaneously broken symmetric potential:

$$w(\chi) = \frac{y}{32} \left(\chi^2 - \frac{8}{y}\right)^2$$

produces inflation with $0 < \sqrt{y} \chi_{initial} \ll 1$ and $\chi_{end} = \sqrt{\frac{8}{y}}$. This is small field inflation.

From the above integral: $y = z - 1 - \log z$ where $z \equiv y \ \chi^2/8$ and we have $0 < y < \infty$ for 1 > z > 0. Spectral index n_s and the ratio r as functions of y: $n_s = 1 - \frac{y}{N} \frac{3z+1}{(z-1)^2}$, $r = \frac{16 \ y}{N} \frac{z}{(z-1)^2}$

Binomial New Inflation: (y = coupling).

r decreases monotonically with y: (strong coupling) $0 < r < \frac{8}{N} = 0.16$ (zero coupling).



 n_s first grows with y, reaches a maximum value $n_{s,maximum} = 0.96139 \dots$ at $y = 0.2387 \dots$ and then n_s decreases monotonically with y.

Binomial New Inflation



Probability Distributions. Trinomial New Inflation.



Probability distributions: solid blue curves Mean likelihoods: dot-dashed red curves.

$$z_1 = 1 - \frac{y}{8\left(|h| + \sqrt{h^2 + 1}\right)^2} \chi^2$$
.



Imposing the trinomial potential (solid blue curves) and just the Λ CDM+r model (dashed red curves). (curves normalized to have the maxima equal to one).

Probability Distributions. Trinomial Chaotic Inflation.



Probability distributions (solid blue curves) and mean likelihoods (dot-dashed red curves).

The data request a strongly asymmetric potential in chaotic inflation almost having two minima. That is, a strong breakdown of the $\chi \rightarrow -\chi$ symmetry.

Quadrupole suppression and Fast-roll Inflation

The observed CMB-quadrupole (COBE,WMAP5) is six times smaller than the Λ CDM model value. In the best Λ CDM fit the probability that the quadrupole is as low or lower than the observed value is 3%. It is hence relevant to find a cosmological explanation of the quadrupole suppression.

Generically, the classical evolution of the inflaton has a brief **fast-roll stage** that precedes the slow-roll regime. In case the quadrupole CMB mode leaves the horizon during fast-roll, before slow-roll starts, we find that the quadrupole mode gets **suppressed**.

$$\begin{split} P(k) &= |\Delta_{k \ ad}^{(S)}|^2 \ (k/k_0)^{n_s-1} [1+D(k)] \\ \text{The transfer function } D(k) \ \text{changes} \ \text{ the primordial power.} \\ 1+D(0) &= 0, \quad D(\infty) = 0 \end{split}$$

The Fast-Roll Transfer Function



Comparison, with the experimental WMAP5 data of the theoretical C_{ℓ}^{TT} multipoles



– p. 47/5

Comparison, with the experimental WMAP5 data of the theoretical C_{ℓ}^{TE} multipoles



Comparison, with the experimental WMAP-5 data of the theoretical C_{ℓ}^{EE} multipoles



– p. 49/5

Transfer Function for different initial times of fluctuations



Transfer function 1 + D(k) for different initial times of fluctuations: $\Delta \tau$ from the begining of fast-roll. BD initial conditions. $\Delta \tau = 0.25$: begining of slow-roll.

$\Delta C_{\ell}^{\mathrm{TT}}$ vs. initial time of fluctuations



Changes on the dipole, quadrupole and octupole amplitudes according to the starting time $\Delta \tau$ chosen for the fluctuations from the begining of fast-roll. BD initial conditions. $\Delta \tau = 0.25$: begining of slow-roll.

Loop Quantum Corrections to Slow-Roll Inflation

$$\begin{split} \phi(\vec{x},t) &= \Phi_0(t) + \varphi(\vec{x},t), \quad \Phi_0(t) \equiv <\phi(\vec{x},t)>, \quad <\varphi(\vec{x},t)>=0 \\ \varphi(\vec{x},t) &= \frac{1}{a(\eta)} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[a_{\vec{k}} \, \chi_k(\eta) \, e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} + \text{h.c.} \right], \end{split}$$

 $a_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}$, $a_{\vec{k}}$ are creation/annihilation operators, $\chi_k(\eta)$ are mode functions. $\eta = \text{conformal time.}$ To one loop order the equation of motion for the inflaton is $\ddot{\Phi}_0(t) + 3 H \dot{\Phi}_0(t) + V'(\Phi_0) + g(\Phi_0) \langle [\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}, t)]^2 \rangle = 0$ where $g(\Phi_0) = \frac{1}{2} V'''(\Phi_0)$. The mode functions obey:

$$\chi_k''(\eta) + \left[k^2 + M^2(\Phi_0) \ a^2(\eta) - \frac{a''(\eta)}{a(\eta)}\right] \chi_k(\eta) = 0$$

where $M^2(\Phi_0) = V''(\Phi_0) = 3 H_0^2 \eta_V + \mathcal{O}(1/N^2)$

Quantum Corrections to the Friedmann Equation

The mode functions equations for slow-roll become, $\chi_k''(\eta) + \left[k^2 - \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\eta^2}\right] \chi_k(\eta) = 0$, $\nu = \frac{3}{2} + \epsilon_V - \eta_V + \mathcal{O}(1/N^2)$. The scale factor during slow roll is $a(\eta) = -\frac{1}{H_0 \eta (1 - \epsilon_V)}$. Scale invariant case: $\nu = \frac{3}{2}$. $\Delta \equiv \frac{3}{2} - \nu = \eta_V - \epsilon_V$ controls the departure from scale invariance. Explicit solutions in slow-roll:

 $\chi_{k}(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\pi\eta} i^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} H_{\nu}^{(1)}(-k\eta), \quad H_{\nu}^{(1)}(z) = \text{Hankel function}$ Quantum fluctuations: $\langle [\varphi(\boldsymbol{x},t)]^{2} \rangle = \frac{1}{a^{2}(\eta)} \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} |\chi_{k}(\eta)|^{2}$ $\frac{1}{2} \langle [\varphi(\boldsymbol{x},t)]^{2} \rangle = \left(\frac{H_{0}}{4\pi}\right)^{2} \left[\Lambda_{p}^{2} + \ln\Lambda_{p}^{2} + \frac{1}{\Delta} + 2\gamma - 4 + \mathcal{O}(\Delta)\right]$ UV cutoff Λ_{p} = physical cutoff/H, $\frac{1}{\Delta}$ = infrared pole.

$$\left<\dot{\varphi}^2\right>$$
 , $\left<\left(\nabla\varphi\right)^2\right>$ are infrared finite

Quantum Corrections to the Inflaton Potential

Upon UV renormalization the Friedmann equation results

$$H^{2} = \frac{1}{3M_{Pl}^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\Phi_{0}}^{2} + V_{R}(\Phi_{0}) + \left(\frac{H_{0}}{4\pi}\right)^{2} \frac{V_{R}^{''}(\Phi_{0})}{\Delta} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \right]$$
Quantum corrections are proportional to $\left(\frac{H}{M_{Pl}}\right)^{2} \sim 10^{-9}$!!

The Friedmann equation gives for the effective potential:

$$V_{eff}(\Phi_0) = V_R(\Phi_0) + \left(\frac{H_0}{4\pi}\right)^2 \frac{V_R''(\Phi_0)}{\Delta}$$
$$V_{eff}(\Phi_0) = V_R(\Phi_0) \left[1 + \left(\frac{H_0}{4\pi M_{Pl}}\right)^2 \frac{\eta_V}{\eta_V - \epsilon_V}\right]$$

in terms of slow-roll parameters

Very DIFFERENT from the one-loop effective potential in Minkowski space-time:

$$V_{eff}(\Phi_0) = V_R(\Phi_0) + \frac{[V_R''(\Phi_0)]^2}{64\pi^2} \ln \frac{V_R''(\Phi_0)}{M^2}$$

Quantum Fluctuations:

Scalar Curvature, Tensor, Fermion, Light Scalar. All these quantum fluctuations contribute to the inflaton potential and to the primordial power spectra.

In de Sitter space-time:
$$< T_{\mu\nu} > = \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} < T_{\alpha}^{\alpha} >$$

Hence, $V_{eff} = V_R + < T_0^0 > = V_R + \frac{1}{4} < T_{\alpha}^{\alpha} >$

Sub-horizon (Ultraviolet) contributions appear through the trace anomaly and only depend on the spin of the particle. Superhorizon (Infrared) contributions are of the order N^0 and can be expressed in terms of the slow-roll parameters.

$$\begin{split} V_{eff}(\Phi_0) &= V(\Phi_0) \left[1 + \frac{H_0^2}{3 \ (4\pi)^2 \ M_{Pl}^2} \left(\frac{\eta_v - 4 \ \epsilon_v}{\eta_v - 3 \ \epsilon_v} + \frac{3 \ \eta_\sigma}{\eta_\sigma - \epsilon_v} + \mathcal{T} \right) \right] \\ \mathcal{T} &= \mathcal{T}_{\Phi} + \mathcal{T}_s + \mathcal{T}_t + \mathcal{T}_F = -\frac{2903}{20} \text{ is the total trace anomaly.} \\ \mathcal{T}_{\Phi} &= \mathcal{T}_s = -\frac{29}{30}, \ \mathcal{T}_t = -\frac{717}{5}, \ \mathcal{T}_F = \frac{11}{60} \\ \longrightarrow \text{ the graviton (t) dominates.} \end{split}$$

Corrections to the Primordial Scalar and Tensor Power

$$\begin{split} & -\left|\Delta_{k,eff}^{(S)}|^{2} = |\Delta_{k}^{(S)}|^{2} \left\{1 + \frac{3}{8} \frac{r (n_{s}-1) + 2}{(n_{s}-1)^{2}} \frac{dn_{s}}{d\ln k}}{d\ln k} + \frac{2903}{40}\right]\right\} \\ & + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{H_{0}}{4 \pi M_{Pl}}\right)^{2} \left[1 + \frac{3}{8} \frac{r (n_{s}-1) + 2}{(n_{s}-1)^{2}} + \frac{2903}{40}\right]\right\} \\ & + \left|\Delta_{k,eff}^{(T)}|^{2} = |\Delta_{k}^{(T)}|^{2} \left\{1 - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{H_{0}}{4 \pi M_{Pl}}\right)^{2} \left[-1 + \frac{1}{8} \frac{r}{n_{s}-1} + \frac{2903}{20}\right]\right\}. \end{split}$$

The anomaly contribution $-\frac{2903}{20} = -145.15$ DOMINATES as long as the number of fermions less than 783.

The scalar curvature fluctuations $|\Delta_k^{(S)}|^2$ are ENHANCED and the tensor fluctuations $|\Delta_k^{(T)}|^2$ REDUCED.

However,
$$\left(\frac{H}{M_{Pl}}\right)^2 \sim 10^{-9}$$
.
D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, N. G. Sanchez, Phys. Rev. D
72, 103006 (2005), astro-ph/0507596.